

STICHTING WERKGROEP ANTIBIOTICABELEID

SWAB Guidelines on Antibacterial Therapy of Patients with Bacterial Central Nervous System Infections.

Part 1. Community-acquired bacterial meningitis

- Part 2. Nosocomial bacterial meningitis
- Part 3. Bacterial intracerebral abscess
- Part 4. Tuberculous meningitis

Dutch Working Party on Antibiotic Policy (SWAB), 2012

Preparatory Committee: Dr. M.C. Brouwer, Drs. S.G.B. Heckenberg, Dr. G.T.J. van Well (Nederlandse Vereniging voor Kindergeneeskunde), Dr. A. Brouwer (Vereniging voor Infectieziekten), Dr. E.J. Delwel (Nederlandse Vereniging voor Neurochirurgie), Dr. L. Spanjaard (Nederlandse Vereniging voor Medisch Microbiologie), Prof. dr. D. van de Beek (Nederlandse Vereniging voor Neurologie), Prof. dr. J.M. Prins (SWAB).

© 2012 SWAB

Secretariaat SWAB p/a Universitair Medisch Centrum St Radboud Medische Microbiologie Huispost 574, route 574 Postbus 9101 6500 HB Nijmegen secretariaat@swab.nl www.swab.nl

Table of contents

Chapter 1	Introduction		
	General introduction		
	Scope of the guideline		
	Definitions		
	Diagnosis of bacterial meningitis		
	Key questions		
	Data sources		
Part 1	Community acquired bacterial meningitis		
Chapter 2	Epidemiology and empirical antibiotic treatment of community-acquired bacterial meningitis		
Chapter 3	Specific antimicrobial treatment for community acquired bacterial meningitis		
Part 2	Nosocomial and post-traumatic bacterial meningitis		
Chapter 4	Epidemiology and empirical antibiotic treatment of nosocomial and posttraumatic bacterial		
•	meningitis		
Chapter 5	Withdrawal of antibiotic therapy in suspected postoperative meningitis		
Chapter 6	Duration of treatment before CSF catheter replacement		
Chapter 7	Intraventricular antibiotic treatment		
Part 3			
Chapter 8	Epidemiology, empirical antibiotic treatment and treatment duration of bacterial		
.	intracerebral abscess		
Part 4			
Chapter 9	Epidemiology, empirical antibiotic treatment and treatment duration of bacterial		
	tuberculous meningitis (in preparation)		
	Supplementary Tables		
	References		

Chapter 1.

Introduction

General introduction

The Dutch Working Party on Antibiotic Policy (SWAB; Stichting Werkgroep Antibiotica Beleid), established by the Dutch Society for Infectious Diseases (VIZ), the Dutch Society of Medical Microbiology (NVMM) and the Dutch Society for Hospital Pharmacists (NVZA), develops evidence-based guidelines for the use of antibiotics in hospitalized patients in order to optimize the quality of prescribing, thus, contributing to the containment of antimicrobial drug costs and resistance. By means of the development of national guidelines, SWAB offers local antibiotic and formulary committees a guideline for the development of their own, local antibiotic policy.

These are the first SWAB guidelines on bacterial central nervous system infections. It is developed according to the Evidence Based Guideline Development method (EBRO; www.cbo.nl). The AGREE criteria (www.agreecollaboration.org) provided a structured framework both for the development and the assessment of the draft guideline.

Twelve key questions were formulated concerning the antibiotic treatment of bacterial central nervous system infections. Using several data sources (see data sources) conclusions were drawn, with their specific level of evidence, according to the CBO grading system adopted by SWAB (Table 1).¹

Subsequently, specific recommendations were formulated. Each key question will be answered in a separate chapter.

Table 1a

Methodological quality of individual studies.¹

	Intervention	Etiology, prognosis
A1	Systematic review of at least two independent A2-level studies	
A2	Randomised Controlled Trial (RCT) of sufficient methodological quality and power	Prospective cohort study with sufficient power and with adequate confounding corrections
В	Comparative Study lacking the same quality as mentioned at A2 (including patient- control and cohort studies)	Prospective cohort study lacking the same quality as mentioned at A2, retrospective cohort study or patient-control study
С	Non-comparative study	
D	Expert opinion	

Table 1b

Level of evidence of conclusions

	Conclusions based on
1	Study of level A1 or at least two independent studies of level A2
2	One study of level A2 or at least two independent studies of level B
3	One study of level B or C
4	Expert opinion

Relationship between the SWAB Guidelines and the 2012 Guidelines on Meningitis by the Dutch Society for Neurology (Nederlandse Vereniging voor Neurologie)

The SWAB guidelines cover the antimicrobial therapy in children and adults with bacterial meningitis, brain abscesses and tuberculous meningitis. They do not cover other treatment components of bacterial meningitis, such as corticosteroids, osmotic agents and anticoagulants.² This is discussed extensively in the 2012 guidelines by the

Dutch Society for Neurology (Nederlandse Vereniging voor Neurologie).³ The Nederlandse Vereniging voor Neurologie guidelines adopted the SWAB guidelines on meningitis to be the treatment part of their meningitis guidelines.

Scope of the SWAB guidelines

Core issues on cryptococcal meningitis are extensively discussed in the 2008 SWAB guidelines on fungal infections. Diagnostics for bacterial meningitis are briefly discussed in the introduction, but not systematically reviewed in these guidelines. Encephalitis falls outside the scope of these guidelines.

For this guideline we made a distinction based on the setting in which bacterial meningitis was acquired: community-acquired versus nosocomial. Further, we provide recommendations for empirical antimicrobial therapy for clinical subgroups of bacterial meningitis patients. The choice of initial antimicrobial therapy for these subgroups is based on the bacteria most commonly causing the disease, taking into account the patient's age and clinical setting, and patterns of antimicrobial susceptibility. After the results of culture and susceptibility testing have become available, antimicrobial therapy can be modified for optimal treatment.

Definitions

Bacterial meningitis

Bacterial meningitis is defined as a bacterial infection of the meninges, proven by cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) culture, CSF polymerase chain reaction (PCR), CSF gram stain or antigen testing, or suspected by clinical characteristics and/or CSF markers of inflammation (CSF leukocyte count, CSF protein and/or CSF glucose level).⁴

Brain abscess

Brain abscess is defined as focal intraparenchymal bacterial infection in the cerebrum, cerebellum or midbrain identified by cranial computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging or during neurosurgery.

Tuberculous meningitis

Tuberculous meningitis is defined as an infection of the meninges caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, proven by CSF culture or CSF PCR, or suspected by clinical characteristics and/or CSF markers of inflammation (CSF leukocyte count, CSF protein and/or CSF glucose level) combined with evidence or suspicion of other foci of tuberculosis (*e.g.* lungs).

Other relevant definitions

Community-acquired bacterial meningitis: bacterial meningitis acquired in the community.

Nosocomial bacterial meningitis: bacterial meningitis resulting from invasive procedures (e.g., craniotomy, placement of internal or external ventricular catheters, lumbar puncture, intrathecal infusions of medications, or spinal anesthesia), complicated head trauma, or in rare cases, metastatic infection in patients with hospital-acquired bacteremia.⁴

Empirical antibiotic therapy: therapy that is started before the pathogen and its susceptibility patterns are known. The choice of antibacterial therapy is largely based on expected etiology and antimicrobial resistance.

Diagnosis of bacterial meningitis

To diagnose bacterial meningitis, CSF examination is mandatory. CSF culture remains the gold standard for the diagnosis of bacterial meningitis; aerobic culturing techniques are obligatory for community-acquired bacterial meningitis. Anaerobic culture may be important for post-neurosurgical meningitis or for the investigation of CSF shunt meningitis. In a retrospective series of 875 community-acquired bacterial meningitis patients for whom the diagnosis was defined by a CSF white blood cell count of over 1,000 cells per mm³ and/or more than 80% polymorphonuclear cells, the CSF culture was positive for 85% of cases in the absence of prior antibiotic treatment.⁵

CSF culture is obligatory to obtain the in vitro susceptibility of the causative microorganism and to rationalize treatment. CSF Gram staining, latex agglutination testing and PCR are additional diagnostic tools that might aid in etiological diagnoses, especially for patients with negative CSF cultures (i.e., after antibiotic pretreatment).⁶ Characteristic CSF findings for bacterial meningitis consist of polymorphonuclear pleocytosis, hypoglycorrhachia, and raised CSF protein levels. A prediction model based on 422 patients with bacterial or viral meningitis showed that individual predictors of community-acquired bacterial meningitis were a glucose concentration of less than 1.9 mmol per liter (0.34 g/liter), a ratio of CSF glucose to blood glucose of less than 0.23, a protein concentration of more than 2.2 g per liter, or a white cell count of more than 2,000 cells per mm³.⁷

Diagnosis of brain abscess

The most important investigation to diagnose brain abscesses is cranial imaging, either cranial tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).^{8,9} These will usually show round contrast-enhancing lesions similar to intracranial malignancies. Using diffusion weight MRI a distinction can be made between tumor and cerebral abscess: typical brain abscesses are hyperintense on T2 and FLAIR images, show a cavity with ring enhancement on T1 with gadolinium and limited diffusion in the cavity. The microbiological diagnosis in brain abscesses can be difficult. In only a minority of cases the causative pathogen(s) is identified in blood cultures or CSF culture. Therefore, if no primary focus of infection can be identified, a stereotactic aspiration of the abscess should be considered.^{8,9} Both aerobe and anaerobe cultures of the aspirate should be performed.

Diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis

Tuberculous (TB) meningitis is difficult to diagnose, as presenting clinical features are usually non-specific.^{11,12} CSF usually shows a predominant lymphocytic pleiocytosis (>50%), with a low CSF to blood glucose ratio (<0.5) and a high protein concentration (>1.0 g/L).¹² Due to usually low numbers of mycobacteria in the CSF, the yield of Ziehl-Neelsen staining is only adequate (80%) if a large volume of CSF is examined (>5 ml), and in some cases repeated investigations need to be performed.¹¹ PCR for detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* has a lower sensitivity than Ziehl-Neelsen staining, but is especially useful in patients who already started anti-tuberculosis medication.¹¹ CSF Ziehl-Neelsen staining, TB culture and PCR may all remain negative. In some cases repeated CSF investigations will aid in establishing the diagnosis. An important clue to the diagnosis can be pulmonary tuberculosis, which should always be looked for by chest X-ray in patients suspected of TB meningitis. A prediction model was devised in a Vietnamese population, which showed that age below 36, blood leukocyte count below 15.000/ml, duration of illness >6 days, CSF leukocyte count below 750/ml and CSF neutrophil percentage <90% were all indicators of TB meningitis in that setting.¹²

Key questions

- What is the epidemiology and optimal empirical antibiotic therapy of community-acquired bacterial meningitis in the Netherlands in neonates (0-28 days)
- 1b. What is the epidemiology and optimal empirical antibiotic therapy of community-acquired bacterial meningitis in the Netherlands in children (29 days 16 years)

- 1c. What is the epidemiology and optimal empirical antibiotic therapy of community-acquired bacterial meningitis in the Netherlands in adults (> 16 years)?
- 2. What is the optimal antibiotic therapy and treatment duration for community-acquired bacterial meningitis?
 - a. caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae.
 - b. caused by Neisseria meningitidis
 - c. caused by Listeria monocytogenes
 - d. caused by Haemophilus influenzae
 - e. caused by Streptococcus agalactiae
 - f. caused by Escherichia coli
 - g. with negative CSF and blood cultures
- 3a. What is the epidemiology and empirical treatment of bacterial meningitis related to external CSF drainage?
- 3b. What is the epidemiology and empirical treatment of bacterial meningitis related to internal CSF drainage?
- 3c. What is the epidemiology and empirical treatment of bacterial meningitis related to postoperative bacterial meningitis?
- 3d. What is the epidemiology and empirical treatment of bacterial meningitis related to posttraumatic bacterial meningitis?
- 4. When should antibiotic treatment in patients with suspected postoperative bacterial meningitis be withdrawn?
- 5. How long should infected CSF catheters be treated before removal/replacement?
- 6. What are the indications and regimens for intraventricular antimicrobial treatment?
- 7. What is the epidemiology of bacterial intracerebral abscesses in Europe/US?
- 8. What is the optimal empirical antibiotic therapy in intracerebral abscesses?
- 9. What is the optimal dose of antibiotic therapy for intracerebral abscesses?
- 10. What is the optimal duration of therapy for intracerebral abscesses?
- 11. What is the epidemiology and empirical treatment for tuberculous meningitis (in preparation)?

Data sources

Community-acquired bacterial meningitis

The Netherlands Reference Laboratory for Bacterial Meningitis

The most important source of information for bacterial meningitis in the Netherlands is the Netherlands Reference Laboratory for Bacterial Meningitis (NRLBM; http://www.amc.uva.nl/index.cfm?pid=5627). The NRLBM started collecting isolates of *Neisseria meningitidis* in 1959 and of other bacteria causing meningitis in 1975. The Reference Laboratory isolates are available for studies on the epidemiology of bacterial meningitis and on the pathogenicity and antibiotic susceptibility of isolates.

The objectives of the Reference Laboratory are to perform surveillance of bacterial meningitis, to describe the epidemiology of bacterial meningitis in the Netherlands, to provide keys for the development of potential vaccine components and to provide data about antibiotic susceptibility of isolates. The NRLBM receives isolates from >85% of all patients with bacterial meningitis in the Netherlands. It is not possible to grade the data from the NRLBM with a specific level of evidence. However, the committee considers these surveillance data to be the most reliable, as the NRLBM covers >85% of the Dutch patients with bacterial meningitis and assigned it a level A2.

Dutch cohorts of adults with community-acquired bacterial meningitis

The Dutch Bacterial Meningitis Study (DBMS) and MeninGene Study are 2 prospective cohort studies including adult patients with community-acquired meningitis in the Netherlands, who had bacterial meningitis confirmed by cerebrospinal fluid culture.^{10,11} In both studies patients were identified by the NRLBM, which provided the names of the hospitals where patients with bacterial meningitis had been admitted 2-6 days previously. The treating physician was contacted, and informed consent was obtained from all participating patients or their legally authorized representatives. The Dutch Bacterial Meningitis Study lasted from 1998 to 2002 and included 696 patients. MeninGene started in 2006 and continues until today, and has thus far included over 1200 patients. Detailed information on patients with bacterial meningitis is collected through Case-record forms (CRF). CRFs are used to collect data on patients' history, symptoms and signs on admission, laboratory findings at admission, clinical course, outcome and neurologic findings at discharge, and treatment. We used data from these studies for all adults with bacterial meningitis.

Literature

The NRLBM data does not distinguish between community-acquired and nosocomial bacterial meningitis. Therefore, we substantiated the NRLBM data with literature studies where appropriate using the following search strategy:

((((("Meningitis, Bacterial"[Mesh]) OR (bacterial meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (pneumococcal meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (meningococcal meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (staphylococcal meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (nosocomial meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (hospital acquired meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (e coli meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (escherichia coli meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (neonatal meningitis*[TIAB])) AND (("Anti-Bacterial Agents"[Mesh] OR "Anti-Bacterial Agents "[Pharmacological Action]) OR (antibiotic*[TIAB]) OR (antimicrobial*[TIAB])) AND ((Humans[Mesh]) AND (English[lang]))) NOT (tuberc*[TI] OR anthra*[TI])) NOT (case reports[PT] OR editorial[PT]))

Nosocomial bacterial meningitis

Literature Pubmed was searched using search strategies

Search 1): Pubmed search: bacterial meningitis related to internal/external CSF drainage

(("Ventriculoperitoneal Shunt"[Mesh] OR ventriculoperitoneal shunt*[TIAB]) OR (ventricular drain*[TIAB]) OR (lumbar drain*[TIAB]) OR (ventricular atrial drain*) OR (ventriculoatrial drain*[TIAB]) OR (ventriculopleural drain*[TIAB])) AND (("cross infection"[MeSH Terms] OR cross infection*[TIAB])) OR ("infection"[MeSH Terms] OR "infection"[TIAB])))

Search 2): Nosocomial bacterial meningitis (Selected from meningitis search)

((((("Meningitis, Bacterial"[Mesh]) OR (bacterial meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (pneumococcal meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (meningococcal meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (staphylococcal meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (nosocomial meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (hospital acquired meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (e coli meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (escherichia coli meningitis*[TIAB]) OR (neonatal meningitis*[TIAB])) AND (("Anti-Bacterial Agents"[Mesh] OR "Anti-Bacterial Agents "[Pharmacological Action]) OR (antibiotic*[TIAB]) OR (antimicrobial*[TIAB])) AND ((Humans[Mesh]) AND (English[lang]))) NOT (tuberc*[TI] OR anthra*[TI])) NOT (case reports[PT] OR editorial[PT]))

Brain abscess

Literature

Pubmed was searched using search strategie:

((((("Brain Abscess"[Mesh:noexp]) OR (Brain Abscess*[TIAB]) OR (cerebral Abscess*[TIAB]) OR (cerebellar Abscess*[TIAB])) OR (brain[TIAB] AND abscess*[TIAB])) AND (("Anti-Bacterial Agents"[Mesh] OR "Anti-Bacterial Agents "[Pharmacological Action]) OR (antibiotic*[TIAB]) OR (antibacterial agent*[TIAB]) OR (antimicrobial agent*[TIAB])) AND ((Humans[Mesh]) AND (English[lang]))) AND ((Humans[Mesh]) AND (English[lang]))) AND ((("Brain Abscess"[Mesh:noexp]) OR (Brain Abscess*[TIAB]) OR (cerebral

Abscess*[TIAB]) OR (cerebellar Abscess*[TIAB])) OR (brain[TIAB] AND abscess*[TIAB])) AND (("Anti-Bacterial Agents"[Mesh] OR "Anti-Bacterial Agents "[Pharmacological Action]) OR (antibiotic*[TIAB]) OR (antibacterial agent*[TIAB]) OR (antimicrobial agent*[TIAB])) AND ((Humans[Mesh]) AND (English[lang]))) AND (Humans[Mesh] AND Case Reports[ptyp] AND English[lang]))

Limits used were: English, not case reports, humans. This resulted in 517 hits (March 8th 2010)

To avoid differences due to geographical location, patients in Europe/North America/Western Asia (*i.e.*, Israel and Turkey) were included. Case series of >10 patients were included. Microbiological data were extracted from each study according to a pre-specified protocol.

Parts of the recommendations in these guidelines have been published previously.^{4,6,12}

Tuberculous meningitis (*in preparation*) *Literature*

Chapter 2

Epidemiology and empirical antibiotic treatment of community-acquired bacterial meningitis

In patients with bacterial meningitis, other treatment next to antibiotics may be indicated. Adjunctive dexamethasone therapy needs to be administered simultaneous with the antibiotics in most children and adults with bacterial meningitis, and consultation with an ENT specialist may be necessary to assess whether surgical treatment of otitis or sinusitis is indicated. Although the SWAB guidelines only cover antibiotic therapy and refers to the 2012 guidelines by the Dutch Society for Neurology (Nederlandse Vereniging voor Neurologie) for adjunctive treatments, the committee likes to stress the importance of these therapies.

Key question 1a. What is the epidemiology and optimal empirical antibiotic therapy of bacterial meningitis in the Netherlands in neonates (0-28 days)?

Common causative microorganisms of neonatal meningitis during the first week of life are *Streptococcus agalactiae* (Group B streptococci), *Escherichia coli*, and *Listeria monocytogenes* (Supplementary table 1).^{6,13-15} Late-onset neonatal meningitis is formally classified as meningitis after the first week until the 28th day of life.^{13,16,17} Late-onset neonatal meningitis may be caused by a wide variety of species, including staphylococci, and Gram-negative bacilli.⁶ Children born with a hydrocephalus or those that develop a hydrocephalus after an intraventricular bleeding (on the neonatal intensive care unit) are often treated with repetitive CSF drainage from an Ommaya reservoir, or a temporary or permanent CSF shunt and are at increased risk of nosocomial meningitis.^{4,18} The causative microorganisms in these children are different from those in "spontaneous" meningitis and are similar to those seen in nosocomial meningitis (Chapter 4).

Empirical therapy for neonatal meningitis should consist of amoxicillin combined with cefotaxime (Table 2). The use of gentamicin to cover neonatal meningitis due to Gram-negative bacteria has been debated. The recommendation for the addition of gentamicin has been based on data from in vitro studies, which showed synergistic activity with amoxicillin in antimicrobial killing of *S. agalactiae*.^{6,19} However, as CSF concentrations are usually only minimally above the MIC, third generation cephalosporins are considered superior to gentamicin.¹⁷ In neonates with suspected sepsis gentamicin is combined with amoxicillin, but physicians should be aware this regimen is sub-optimal for meningitis treatment. In neonates with suspected sepsis or meningitis CSF examination to establish whether concurrent meningitis is present is vital to determine the optimal empirical treatment. We recommend amoxicillin and cefotaxime in children with a high suspicion of bacterial meningitis. The dose is depends on gestational age and birth weight, and is given in the online SWAB-ID (www.swab.nl). Ceftriaxone is contraindicated in children < 4 weeks because of a high risk of precipitation of calcium-ceftriaxone complexes in the gallbladder.

1b. What is the epidemiology and optimal empirical antibiotic therapy of bacterial meningitis in the Netherlands for children (29 days – 16 years)?

The most common causative bacteria of community-acquired bacterial meningitis in children aged 1 month and older are *S. pneumoniae* and *N. meningitidis*, causing 80% of cases in the Netherlands (Supplementary table 2). The remainder of cases is caused by group B streptococci, *E. coli*, *H. influenzae*, other Gram-negative bacilli, *L. monocytogenes*, and group A streptococci. The epidemiology has shifted substantially in the past 10 years and is still changing due to the introduction of the meningococcus group C vaccine (2002), and the 7- (2006) and 10-valent (2011) pneumococcal conjugate vaccines.⁶

Empirical coverage with a third generation cephalosporin (cefotaxime or ceftriaxone) is recommended based on a broad spectrum of activity and excellent penetration into the CSF under inflammatory conditions. Third generation cephalosporins are preferred in this age group above amoxicillin as resistance of *H. influenzae* to amoxicillin due to beta-lactamase production occurs in 11% of meningitis cases.²⁰ A clinical trial comparing cefotaxime with meropenem showed similar efficacy; therefore meropenem may be considered as alternative empirical treatment in children >3 months of age in specific cases (*e.g.* cephalosporin allergy).²¹ However, the committee recommends keeping the use of meropenem restricted to the use as last resort antibiotic in bacterial meningitis patients.

1c. What is the epidemiology and optimal empirical antibiotic therapy of bacterial meningitis in the Netherlands for adults (> 16 years)

All causative organisms of bacterial meningitis in adults from two nation-wide prospective cohort studies in the Netherlands, including 1500 patients, are presented in Supplementary table 3. *S. pneumoniae* is the most common causative microorganism of adult bacterial meningitis, and was identified in 70% of culture positive cases included between 2006 and 2010.²² *N. meningitidis* was the second most common causative microorganism and is predominantly found in young adults.²³ The proportion of meningococcal meningitis decreased from 37% of bacterial meningitis cases between 1998-2002 to 16% in 2006-2010, probably due to vaccination against serogroup C and to normal variation in meningococcal disease incidence. Patients over 50 years of age and those with an immunocompromised state are at increased risk for *Listeria monocytogenes*, which is found in 5% of cases.²⁴ However, *L. monocytogenes* meningitis also occurs in previously healthy adults without risk factors (Supplementary table 4). Therefore age and immunocompromised status cannot be used to rule out *L. monocytogenes* meningitis due to mostly unencapsulated *H. influenzae* and group A streptococci occurs in 3-4% of cases. Other causative organisms occur sporadically.

There have been no randomized controlled trials or comparative studies to evaluate the optimal empirical antibiotic treatment in adults with bacterial meningitis. Therefore all recommendations are based on epidemiological data (level 4 evidence – expert opinion).

The committee recommends empirical therapy for bacterial meningitis in adults to consist of amoxicillin and a third generation cephalosporin (ceftriaxone or cefotaxime). Use of these antibiotics will ensure coverage of the

four most common causative microorganisms (pneumococci, *N. meningitidis*, *L. monocytogenes* and *H. influenzae*) and most sporadic causes. This combination therapy is preferred over monotherapy with third generation cephalosporins, as this does not cover *L. monocytogenes*, while monotherapy with amoxicillin does not cover β -lactamase producing *H. influenzae* strains and *E. coli*.

In the majority of patients the CSF or blood cultures will show the causative microorganism within 48 hours, with the exception of *L. monocytogenes* which is a notably slow grower.⁶ If a microorganism is cultured, therapy can be adjusted accordingly (see Ch 3). If cultures are negative after 48 hours, the third generation cephalosporins can be discontinued, and monotherapy with amoxicillin will suffice because infection with β -lactamase producing *H. influenzae* is virtually excluded.

Conclusions

Level 1	Early neonatal meningitis is most commonly caused by <i>S. agalactiae</i> , <i>E. coli</i> , and <i>L. monocytogenes</i> . Late neonatal meningitis is most commonly caused by staphylococci, and Gram-negative bacilli A2 Garges (2006) ¹³ , Holt (2001) ¹⁵ , NRLBM (2011) ²⁰ B Hristeva (1993) ¹⁴
Level 2	Bacterial meningitis in children is caused by <i>S. pneumoniae</i> (44%), <i>N. meningitidis</i> (39%) and <i>H. influenzae</i> (11%) A2 NRLBM ²⁰
Level 2	Bacterial meningitis in adults is caused by S. pneumoniae (62%), N. meningitidis (23%)L. monocytogens (5%) and H. influenzae (3%)A2 NRLBM20
Level 3	Cefotaxime and meropenem are equally effective as empirical therapy for bacterial meningitis in children. However, meropenem should only be given in specific cases and kept as last resort. B Odio (1999) ²¹
*	No comparative studies have been performed to evaluate empirical antibiotic therapy regimens for community-acquired bacterial meningitis in neonates and adults.

Recommendations

- 1. Empirical antibiotic therapy in neonates with bacterial meningitis should consist of amoxicillin and cefotaxime. If a microorganism is cultured, therapy can be adjusted accordingly (see Ch 3).
- 2. Empirical antibiotic therapy in children aged 1 month-16 years with bacterial meningitis should consist of a third generation cephalosporin (cefotaxime or ceftriaxone). Meropenem may be used as an alternative in specific cases (*e.g.* known allergic reactions). If a microorganism is cultured, therapy can be adjusted accordingly (see Ch 3).

- 3. Empirical antibiotic therapy in adults with bacterial meningitis should consist of the combination of amoxicillin and a third generation cephalosporin (ceftriaxone or cefotaxime).
- 4. If a microorganism is cultured in adults with bacterial meningitis, therapy can be adjusted accordingly (see Ch 3). If cultures are negative after 48 hours, the third generation cephalosporin can be discontinued, and monotherapy amoxicillin will suffice.

Table 2. Empirical antimicrobial therapy for community-acquired bacterial meningitis, stratified for age.

Age / risk group	Treatment	Alternative
Neonates*	amoxicillin 100-200 mg/kg/day (6h) and	amoxicillin 100-200 mg/kg/day (6h)
	cefotaxime 50-150 mg/kg/day (8h)	and gentamicin 4 mg/kg/day (24-
		48h)
Children	ceftriaxone 100 mg/kg/day (max 4g)	meropenem 120 mg/kg/day (max 6
	(24h) or cefotaxime 150 mg/kg/day	g) (8h)
	(max 12g) (6h)	
Adults	amoxicillin 6x2 g/dag plus	
	ceftriaxone 2x2 g/day or cefotaxime 6x2	
	g/day	

Dosages derived from: SWAB-ID: Nationale antibioticaboekje van de SWAB,

http://customid.duhs.duke.edu/NL/Main/Start.asp. Between brackets interval between dosages.

* Dose and dosage interval dependent on gestational age and weight, see SWAB-ID for exact recommendations.

Chapter 3

Specific antimicrobial treatment

A summary of specific antimicrobial treatment for bacterial meningitis when a causative organism is identified is presented in Table 3. No randomized controlled trials or comparative studies have been performed to compare the efficacy of different antibiotics in bacterial meningitis due to specific bacteria. Therefore, the choice of specific antimicrobial therapy is based on bacterial susceptibility testing.

Studies on optimal treatment duration are scarce. A 2010 meta-analysis on randomized trials comparing short (4-7 days) versus long duration (7-14 days) of antibiotic therapy for bacterial meningitis concluded that there was no difference in outcome between treatment durations.²⁵ However, there were several biases in the included studies and the results can not be extrapolated to the unselected population of community-acquired bacterial meningitis.²⁶ A recent study performed in Malawi compared the use of a 5 day regimen with a the standard 10 day regimen in children with uncomplicated bacterial meningitis due to *H. influenzae*, *N. meningitidis* or *S. pneumoniae*. The study showed equality of both regimens.²⁷ However, the subgroup of children with pneumococcal meningitis was too small to conclude that there was no harm of early discontinuation, especially since the mortality was 8% in the 5 day regimen group vs. 5% in the 10 day group.²⁷ Therefore, the results of this trial can not be extrapolated to the Netherlands.

Currently, the duration of antibiotic treatment is based on expert opinion. New studies on optimal treatment duration are needed. The level of evidence for treatment duration is therefore categorized as level 4.²⁸

 Table 3. Specific antimicrobial therapy for community-acquired bacterial meningitis based on causative microorganism

Micro-organism	Standard treatment	Alternative therapy	Duration
S. pneumoniae			
$MIC \leq 0.06 \text{ g/ml}$	penicillin G	ceftriaxone or cefotaxime	10-14 days
0.06 <mic≤2.0µg ml<="" td=""><td>ceftriaxone or cefotaxime</td><td>meropenem</td><td>10-14 days</td></mic≤2.0µg>	ceftriaxone or cefotaxime	meropenem	10-14 days
MIC >2.0µg/ml	vancomycin plus either	vancomycin plus meropenem	
	ceftriaxone or cefotaxime		
N. meningitidis			
$MIC \leq 0.25 \mu g/ml$	penicillin G	ceftriaxone or cefotaxime	7 days
MIC >0.25µg/ml	ceftriaxone or cefotaxime	meropenem or chloramphenicol	7 days
H. influenzae	ceftriaxone or cefotaxime	meropenem or chloramphenicol	7/10 days ^a
L. monocytogenes	amoxicillin or penicillin G	trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	≥21 days
S. agalactiae	penicillin G or amoxicillin	ceftriaxone or cefotaxime	$\geq 14 \text{ days}$

^aDuration of treatment in children 10 days, adults 7 days.

Key question 2a. What is the optimal antibiotic therapy and duration for community-acquired bacterial meningitis caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae?

Resistance of *S. pneumoniae* to penicillin and third generation cephalosporins (cefotaxime or ceftriaxone) has been reported to cause treatment failure.⁶ The rate of pneumococcal resistance rates in the Netherlands is still very low, with only 0.5-1% strains showing intermediate resistance to penicillin $(0.06 < MIC \le 2.0)$.^{10,11} So far, one strain resistant to third generation cephalosporins has been described (MIC>2.0).²⁹ Therefore, in the overwhelming majority of patients with pneumococcal meningitis monotherapy with amoxicillin or penicillin suffices. When susceptibility testing shows intermediate resistance to penicillin, a third generation cephalosporin should be used. Patients at risk for infection with a resistant strain, such as inhabitants of countries with high pneumococcal resistance rates (*e.g.* South-European countries, or the US) or recent travelers from these countries should be treated with combination therapy consisting of vancomycin and a third generation cephalosporin until susceptibility testing is performed.⁶ When the isolate shows resistance to penicillin (MIC>2.0), combination therapy of vancomycin and a third generation cephalosporin should be used. The duration of therapy for *S. pneumoniae* meningitis is 10 days, unless persistent or recurrent fever or other complications occur that warrant prolonged treatment.²⁸

Key question 2b. What is the optimal antibiotic therapy and duration for community-acquired bacterial meningitis caused by Neisseria meningitidis?

Currently (2012), there is no consensus in Europe about the penicillin breakpoints of *N.meningitidis*. According to EUCAST the meningococcus has intermediate resistance (reduced susceptibility) when the penicillin MIC is >0.06 en ≤ 0.25 mg/l. Meningococcal strains with reduced susceptibility to penicillin have been described, but the clinical significance remains unclear. In the Netherlands, 17 of 392 (4%) meningococcal strains isolated from CSF between 2005-2009 showed intermediate resistance to penicillin. In 2010 the number increased to 10 out of 54 (19%). Penicillin-resistant strains are very rare. The majority of patients with *N. meningitidis* strains with intermediate resistance to penicillin reported in the literature have responded well to penicillin treatment.⁶ Treatment failures have been described in a few case reports, and none of these occurred in the Netherlands. Therefore, treatment with amoxicillin or penicillin for 7 days remains first choice.²⁸ Infection with a penicillin-resistant strain (MIC >0.25) should be treated with a third generation cephalosporin.⁶

All meningococcal meningitis cases have to be reported to the Public Health Service (GGD/GG&GD). Household contacts and others who have been in close contact with meningococcal meningitis patients in the week prior to disease onset should receive prophylaxis to minimize the risk of secondary cases. Health care workers are only at risk after intimate contact such as mouth-to mouth resuscitation or endotracheal intubation. Rifampicin and ciprofloxacin are both effective as prophylaxis for treating patient contacts.³ More information on this subject can be found in the bacterial meningitis guidelines of the Dutch Neurological Society.³

Key question 2c. What is the optimal antibiotic therapy and duration for community-acquired bacterial meningitis caused by Listeria monocytogenes?

Amoxicillin and penicillin are highly effective against *L. monocytogenes*, and one of these should be used in patients with proven or suspected *L. monocytogenes* meningitis. Third generation cephalosporins are ineffective against this pathogen and therefore empirical monotherapy with these agents in patients at higher risk for *L. monocytogenes* meningitis, e.g. elderly (>50 years) and immunocompromised patients, should be avoided.²⁴ As it is difficult to define patients that have an increased risk of *L. monocytogenes*, the empirical treatment for all adults includes amoxicillin to cover *L. monocytogenes*. Patients allergic to penicillin can be treated with trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.⁶

The addition of aminoglycosides is debated. Synergistic activity of gentamicin with other antibiotics has been shown in *in vitro* experiments. However, a cohort of 118 Spanish adults with *L. monocytogenes* disease showed increased mortality and renal failure in the aminoglycoside-treated patients.³⁰ Therefore, aminoglycosides are not advised in adults with *L. monocytogenes* meningitis. Minimal duration of treatment of *L. monocytogenes* meningitis is 21 days for children and adults.^{28,31,32}

Key question 2d. What is the optimal antibiotic therapy and duration for community-acquired bacterial meningitis caused by Haemophilus influenzae?

The rate of beta-lactamase producing *H. influenzae* strains in the Netherlands has fluctuated in the last 25 years from 0.9% to 12.5%, with an average around 5% (data NRLBM). Therefore, third generation cephalosporins have become standard for *H. influenzae* meningitis, until susceptibility testing is performed. If the strain is susceptible to amoxicillin, amoxicillin should be used. The standard duration of therapy for adults is 7 days and for children 10 days.^{28,32}

Key question 2e. What is the optimal antibiotic therapy and duration for community-acquired bacterial meningitis caused by Streptococcus agalactiae?

S. agalactiae is invariably susceptible to penicillin, amoxicillin, and cephalosporins. Treatment of *S. agalactiae* meningitis consists of penicillin or amoxicillin, and alternatively third generation cephalosporins can be used. The minimal advised treatment duration is 14 days, but courses up to 21 days should be considered in patients with a complicated disease course. In neonates with *S. agalactiae* meningitis gentamicin is added for 3 days.

Key question 2f. What is the optimal antibiotic therapy and duration for community-acquired bacterial meningitis caused by Escherichia coli?

E. coli strains are mostly susceptible to third generation cephalosporins and 30-40% is susceptible to amoxicillin. Strains producing extended spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL) are increasing in frequency but have not been described in meningitis patients in the Netherlands so far. Treatment of *E. coli* meningitis consists of third generation cephalosporins combined with gentamicin during the first three days of treatment in neonates. In

children beyond the neonatal age and adults third generation cephalosporins are advised, or amoxicillin if the strain is sensitive to amoxicillin. When ESBL producing *E. coli* are suspected or proven, meropenem is the treatment of choice. The minimal advised treatment duration is 21 days.

Key question 2g. What is the optimal antibiotic therapy and duration for community-acquired bacterial meningitis caused by unidentified pathogens (culture-negative cases)?

In 10 to 20% of bacterial meningitis cases, defined by elevated CSF markers of inflammation, CSF and blood cultures remain negative.⁶ No studies have been performed to determine the treatment regimen for these patients and the optimal duration of therapy is therefore also unclear. The given recommendations are based on the duration of therapy advised for the most common causative microorganisms. For children empirical therapy with a third generation cephalosporin should be continued for 14 days in culture-negative cases. In adults the empirical therapy can be changed, from amoxicillin combined with a third generation cephalosporin to monotherapy with a moxicillin for 14 days if after 48 hours cultures remain negative. Monotherapy amoxicillin is the antimicrobial therapy of choice in adults because infection with β -lactamase producing *H. influenzae* is virtually excluded if cultures remain negative after 48 hours. *L. monocytogenes* should still be covered after 48 hours as it is a slow growing microorganism. As *L. monocytogenes* is extremely rare in children beyond the neonatal age, monotherapy with a third generation cephalosporin suffices for children.

Conclusions

Level 1	No randomized controlled trials or adequate comparative studies have been
	performed to determine the optimal antibiotic treatment duration of bacterial
	meningitis caused by specific pathogens or in culture negative cases.
	A1 Karageorgeopoulous (2007) ²⁵ , vd Beek (2010) ²⁶

Recommendations

- Bacterial meningitis caused by penicillin susceptible (MIC≤0.06) *S. pneumoniae* should be treated with penicillin 200.000 IU/kg/day (4h maximum 12 million units) in children and penicillin 12 million IU /day (4h or continuously) in adults, for a minimum of 10 days. Meningitis due to *S. pneumoniae* with intermediate resistance (0.06<MIC≤2.0) should be treated with ceftriaxone 100 mg/kg/day (24h max 4g) in children and ceftriaxone 4 g/day (12h) or cefotaxime 8-12 g/day (4-6h) in adults, for a minimum of 10 days. Meningitis due to penicillin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* (MIC >2.0) should be treated with vancomycin 60 mg/kg/day (12h max 2g) plus ceftriaxone 100 mg/kg/day (24h max 4g) in children and vancomycin 2 g/day (12h) plus ceftriaxone 4 g/day (12h) or cefotaxime 8-12 g/day (4-6h) in adults.
- Bacterial meningitis caused by penicillin susceptible *N. meningitidis* should be treated with penicillin 200.000 IU/kg/day (4h maximum 12 million units) in children and penicillin 12 million IU /day (4h or continuously) in adults, for 7 days. Penicillin resistant strains (MIC >0.25) should be treated with ceftriaxone 100 mg/kg/day (24h max 4g) in children and ceftriaxone 4 g/day (12h) or cefotaxime 8-12 g/day (4-6h) in adults, for 7 days.

- 3. Bacterial meningitis caused by *L. monocytogenes* should be treated with amoxicillin 200 mg/kg/day (6h max 12g) in children and amoxicillin 12 g/day (4h) in adults, for at least 21 days.
- 4. Bacterial meningitis caused by *H. influenzae*, β-lactamase positive or β-lactamase unknown, should be treated with ceftriaxone 100 mg/kg/day (24h max 4 g) in children and ceftriaxone 4 gr/day (12h) or cefotaxime 8-12 gr/day (4-6h) in adults, for 7 days. If the strain is susceptible to amoxicillin, it should be treated with amoxicillin 200 mg/kg/day (6h max 12g) in children and amoxicillin 12g/day (4h) in adults, for 7 days.
- Bacterial meningitis caused by *Streptococcus agalactiae* should be treated with penicillin 200.00 IU/kg/day (4h – maximum 12 million units) and penicillin 12 million units/day (4h or continuously) in adults, for at least 14 days.
- 6. Bacterial meningitis caused by *Escherichia coli* should be treated with cefotaxime plus gentamicin for 3 days in neonates (dose depends on gestational age and birth weight SWAB website), ceftriaxone 100 mg/kg/day (24h max 4g) for children and ceftriaxone 4g (12h) or cefotaxime 8-12g/day (4-6h) in adults, for 21 days. ESBL positive strains should be treated with meropenem 120mg/kg/day (8h maximum 6 g) in children and 6g (8h) for adults.
- Bacterial meningitis with negative CSF and blood cultures after 48 hours should be treated with ceftriaxone 100 mg/kg/day (24h – max 4g) in children and amoxicillin 12g/day (4h) in adults, for 14 days.

Part 2.

Nosocomial and posttraumatic bacterial meningitis

Chapter 4

Epidemiology and empirical antibiotic treatment of nosocomial and posttraumatic bacterial meningitis

Key question 3a. What is the epidemiology and empirical treatment of bacterial meningitis related to external CSF drainage?

External ventricular and lumbar drains are used for monitoring intracranial pressure and temporary diversion of CSF, or as part of treatment of infected internal catheters.⁴ The risk of infection of external ventricular catheters is reported to be approximately 8%, while infection of external lumbar catheters has been estimated to occur in 5%.³⁴ Most common pathogens in infections of external CSF catheters are staphylococci, which are cultured in approximately 60% of cases. Common staphylococcal species are coagulase-negative staphylococci, mostly *S. epidermidis*, and *S. aureus* (Supplementary table 5).³⁵⁻⁴⁴ Other common pathogens are *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterobacter* species, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Acinetobacter* species and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Multiple uncommon pathogens can be found in external CSF catheter infection and therefore empirical antibiotic coverage should be broad. A combination of vancomycin plus either ceftazidime or meropenem should be used (Table 4).⁴

Key question 3b. What is the epidemiology and empirical treatment of bacterial meningitis related to internal CSF drainage?

The reported incidence of meningitis associated with internal ventricular or lumbar catheters ranges from 4 to 17%.^{4,45,46} Approximately 60% of cases are caused by *Staphylococcus* species, and other frequent pathogens are *Escherichia coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, *E. faecalis* and *Acinetobacter* species (Supplementary table 6).⁴⁵⁻⁵⁰ Mixed infections were described in 17% of cases in a recent Swiss study including 71 patients.⁴⁶ Because of the wide range of pathogens and the occurrence of mixed infections the antibiotic coverage should be broad and should consist of vancomycin plus either ceftazidime or meropenem (Table 4).⁴

Key question 3c. What is the epidemiology and empirical treatment of bacterial meningitis related to postoperative bacterial meningitis?

Bacterial meningitis is a serious complication of neurosurgical procedures and occurs in 0.8-1.5% of patients who undergo craniotomy.^{4,51,52} Development of bacterial meningitis has been associated with concomitant infection of the site of incision and duration of operation > 4 hours.⁴ One third of meningitis cases develop within the first week after the operation, one third in the second week and one third after the second week.⁵¹ Most cases are caused by *Staphylococcus* species (coagulase negative staphylococci, mostly *S. epidermidis and S. aureus*; Supplementary table 7⁵²⁻⁵⁷). *E. coli, K. pneumoniae, Enterobacter* spp, *Serratia* spp, *Acinetobacter* spp, and *P. aeruginosa* were all found in approximately 5% of cases. Frequent causes of community-acquired bacterial meningitis such as *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenzae* occur infrequently in postoperative bacterial meningitis. Because the significance of coagulase negative staphylococci is not evident in the patients without internal or external drains, and vancomycin is inferior to β -lactam antibiotics in *S.aureus* infections,

empirical therapy should consist of flucloxacillin combined with ceftazidime, or meropenem monotherapy (Table 4).

Key question 3d. What is the epidemiology and empirical treatment of posttraumatic bacterial meningitis?

The incidence of meningitis after moderate and severe head trauma is estimated at 1.4%.^{4,58} In patients with open cranial fractures the rate of meningitis is higher at 2-11%. Data on causative microorganisms in posttraumatic bacterial meningitis are scarce (Supplementary table 8).⁵⁸ Posttraumatic meningitis following skull base fractures are caused by microorganisms that colonize the nasopharynx (*S. pneumonia*e, *H. influenzae* and Group A streptococci),⁴ while bacterial meningitis following an impression fracture of the skull will be likely caused by skin flora (staphylococci). Also in these patients the significance of coagulase negative staphylococci is not evident in the absence of internal or external drains, and vancomycin is inferior to β -lactam antibiotics in *S.aureus* infections. Therefore, empirical therapy in patients with skull base fractures consists of a third generation cephalosporin, and patients with bacterial meningitis following open skull fractures should likewise be treated with a third generation cephalosporin (ceftriaxone or cefotaxime)(Table 4).

Conclusions

Level 2	Bacterial meningitis related to external and internal ventricular drains, and postoperative bacterial meningitis is caused by <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. in 50-60% of cases
	B Vinchon (2006) ⁴⁵ , Conen (2008) ⁴⁶ , Walters (1984) ⁴⁷ , Filka (1999) ⁴⁸ , Kestle (2006) ⁴⁹ , Sacar (2006) ⁵⁰ , McClelland (2007) ⁵² , Aucoin (1986) ⁵³ , Kourbeti (2007) ⁵⁴ , Federico (2001) ⁵⁵ , Wang (2005) ⁵⁶ , Zarrouk (2007) ⁵⁷
Level 4	Bacterial meningitis following severe head trauma due to skull base fractures is mostly caused by <i>S. pneumoniae</i> , <i>H. influenzae</i> and group A streptococci and bacterial meningitis due to open skull fractures is mostly caused by skin flora (staphylococci).
*	No studies have been performed on empirical antibiotic therapy for nosocomial bacterial meningitis

Recommendations

- 1. Nosocomial meningitis associated with external or internal ventricular drains should be empirically treated with vancomycin plus either ceftazidime or meropenem (Table 4).
- 2. Postoperative bacterial meningitis should be treated with flucloxacillin combined with ceftazidime, or with meropenem monotherapy.
- 3. Posttraumatic bacterial meningitis due to a skull base fracture should be treated with a third generation cephalosporin.

4. Posttraumatic bacterial meningitis due to open skull fractures should be empirically treated with a third generation cephalosporin (ceftriaxone or cefotaxime).

Table 4. Empirical treatment of nosocomial bacterial meningitis in different subgroups^a

Pathogenesis	Common bacterial pathogens	Antimicrobial therapy ^a
Postneurosurgery	Aerobic gram-negative bacilli, S.	Flucloxacillin plus either ceftazidime, or
	aureus, CNS ^b	meropenem monotherapy ^{c,d}
Ventricular or lumbar	CNS ^b , S. aureus, aerobic gram-	Vancomycin plus either ceftazidime or
catheter	negative bacilli, Propionibacterium	meropenem ^{c,d}
	acnes	
Penetrating trauma	S. aureus, CNS ^b , aerobic gram-	Third-generation cephalosporin ^{d,e}
	negative bacilli	
Basilar skull fracture	S. pneumoniae, H. influenzae, group	Third-generation cephalosporin ^{d,e}
(early)	A ß-hemolytic streptococci	

^aThe preferred daily dosages of antimicrobial agents in adult patients with normal renal and hepatic function are as follows: vancomycin 1000 mg every 12 hours, to be adjusted to maintain a serum vancomycin trough concentration of 15-20 μ g/ml, ceftazidime 2 grams every 8 hours, meropenem 2 grams every 8 hours; in patients with severe penicillin and/or cephalosporin allergy, ciprofloxacin 400 mg every 8 hours can be used for treatment of infection caused by gram-negative bacilli; ceftriaxone 2 grams every 12 hours, cefotaxime 2 grams every 4 hours;

^bCoagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* (mostly *S. epidermidis*)

^cChoice of specific agent should be based on local antimicrobial susceptibility of aerobic gram-negative bacilli; ^dFor patients with severe allergy to penicillin and/or cephalosporins, a fluoroquinolone with in vitro activity against *P. aeruginosa* should be utilized;

^eCeftriaxone or cefotaxime.

Chapter 5

Withdrawal of antibiotic therapy in suspected postoperative meningitis.

Key question 4. When should antibiotic treatment in patients with suspected postoperative bacterial meningitis be withdrawn?

A clinical suspicion of nosocomial bacterial meningitis should prompt a diagnostic workup and initiation of empirical antimicrobial therapy.⁴ The most consistent clinical features are fever and a decreased level of consciousness, although they are non-specific and difficult to recognize in patients who are sedated or have just undergone neurosurgical treatment.⁴ The British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy recommends empirical treatment for all patients with signs of postoperative meningitis and withdrawal of treatment after 72 hours if the results of CSF culture remain negative.⁵⁹ This regimen was evaluated in a prospective study and showed to be associated with few complications in patients with negative CSF Gram stain and culture.⁵⁷ However, the effect of preventive use of antibiotics in ICU patients on this regimen is unknown. If a high suspicion of nosocomial bacterial meningitis remains even though CSF cultures are negative, prolongation of antibiotic treatment is advised. When the causative microorganism is identified the antibiotic regimen can be adapted according to the results of CSF culture and susceptibility testing.

Conclusions

Level 3	Empirical antibiotic therapy can be safely withdrawn after 72 hours in patients with
	suspected postoperative meningitis if CSF cultures remain negative.
	B Zarrouk ⁵⁷

Recommendations

1. In patients with suspected postoperative bacterial meningitis, empirical antibiotic treatment may be stopped if cultures remain negative after three days.

Chapter 6.

Duration of treatment before CSF catheter replacement

Key question 5. How long should infected CSF catheters be treated before removal/replacement?

If patients with internal ventricular catheters develop bacterial meningitis, the catheter should be removed to increase the chances of resolving the infection.⁴ Observational studies showed that removal of the catheter hardware, followed by immediate replacement and intravenous antimicrobial therapy, cures approximately 65% of patients with catheter-related infections.⁶⁰ The internal catheter should be replaced with an external ventricular catheter to divert CSF and monitor CSF infection parameters, and this is associated with more rapid resolution of ventriculitis.⁴ Conservative management (i.e., leaving the internal catheter in place and administering intravenous or intraventricular antimicrobial therapy) has generally been associated with a low success rate (approximately 35%).⁶⁰ Timing of reimplantation of the internal ventricular catheter depends on the causative microorganism and results of repeated CSF cultures. As a general guideline shunt infections with coagulase-negative staphylococci or *Propionibacterium acnes* are treated for 7 days before a new catheter is placed. However, if CSF cultures remain positive the catheter should only be placed after cultures have been negative for at least 10 consecutive days. For shunt infections by *S. aureus* and Gram-negative bacilli, 10 days of antimicrobial therapy after repeated negative cultures are recommended before placement of a new internal catheter.⁴ When cultures remain negative 10 days of empirical treatment are recommended before placement of a new internal catheter.

Conclusions

Level 3	Removal of infected internal ventricular drains and replacement by external ventrical drains combined with intravenous antibiotic treatment is associated with more rapid resolution of ventriculitis.
	B Schreffler (2002) ⁶⁰
*	No randomized controlled trials or comparative studies have been performed to determine the timing of reimplantation of internal CSF catheters in patients with infected ventricular drains.

Recommendations

 Reimplantation of CSF catheters in shunt infections caused by coagulase-negative staphylococci or *Propionibacterium acnes* can be performed after 7 days of antimicrobial therapy.
 If CSF cultures remain positive the catheter should only be placed after cultures have been negative for 10
 consecutive days.

2. Reimplantation of CSF catheters in shunt infections caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*, Gram-negative bacilli or in patients with negative CSF cultures can be performed 10 days after CSF cultures are negative.

Chapter 7.

Intraventricular antibiotic treatment

Key question 6. What are the indications and regimens for intraventricular antimicrobial treatment?

Direct infusion of antimicrobial agents into the ventricles through external ventricular catheters is sometimes necessary to treat infections after neurosurgical procedures or infections associated with CSF catheters that are difficult to eradicate with parenteral antibiotics alone.^{4,28,61,62} The indications for intraventricular antibiotics are however, not well defined. The antibiotic is administered through the catheter, which should subsequently be closed for one hour. Dosages have been determined empirically and are generally adjusted according to the measured CSF concentration of the antibiotic.⁴ Determination of CSF antibiotic concentration is performed in a sample taken just before the next dose. The trough concentration should be at least 10-20 times the minimal inhibitory concentration to achieve a constant sterilization of CSF.⁴ Antibiotics most used for intraventricular administration are vancomycin and gentamicin (Table 5, adapted from ⁴).

Table 5. Recommended dosages of antimicrobial agents administered by the intraventricular route.^a

Antimicrobial agent	Daily intraventricular dose (mg)
Vancomycin	5-20 ^b
Gentamicin	1-8 [°]
Tobramycin	5-20
Amikacin	5-50 ^d
Polymyxin B	5 ^e
Colistin	10-20 ^f
Quinupristin/dalfopristin	2-5

^aThere are no data that define the exact dose of an antimicrobial agent that may be administered by the intraventricular route, but guidance may be given by use of MIC (see text) for use of agents in which concentrations can be measured. Medications administered by the intraventricular route should be preservative-free; ^bMost studies have utilized a 10 mg or 20 mg dose; ^cUsual daily dose is 1-2 mg for infants and children, and 4-8 mg for adults; ^dThe usual daily dose is 30 mg; ^eDosage in children is 2 mg daily; ^fIn one study, patients received 10 mg administered every 12 hours

Conclusions

*	No randomized controlled trials or comparative studies have been performed to evaluate
	the indications and regimens of intraventricular antimicrobial treatment.

Recommendations

- 1. Intraventricular antibiotic treatment can be used to treat infections that react poorly to parental antibiotics alone, but indications are not well defined.
- 2. The dosage of intraventricular treatment needs to be adjusted to the CSF antibiotic concentration, and should be 10-20 times the minimal inhibitory concentration of the causative micro-organism.

Chapter 8.

The epidemiology of bacterial intracerebral abscess in Europe/US.

Key question 7: What is the epidemiology of bacterial intracerebral abscess in Europe/US?

We identified 51 studies reporting bacterial causes of brain abscesses that met the inclusion criteria.^{63-112,113} In these studies 3,583 patients were included (Supplementary table 9). In 2536 patients (71%) data on bacterial cultures were provided, and in 435 patients no culture was performed (17%). Cultures were negative in 30% and in studies reporting the number of cultured bacteria, 26% of the cultures were polymicrobial. This may be an underestimation as local microbiology data suggest that the rate of polymicrobial intracranial abscesses may be as high as 50%.

Of the 2,653 bacteria cultured in the included studies, *Streptococcus* species were the most prevalent and were identified in 36% of the positive cultures (Supplementary table 10). Specification of streptococcal species was not available for the majority of strains. Streptococci from the *milleri* group were the most frequently identified species, occurring in 9% of all patients and 72% of specified streptococcal species. *S. pneumoniae*, the most frequent pathogen of bacterial meningitis, was identified in only 3% of all positive cultures in brain abscess patients.⁶ The second most common microorganisms identified in brain abscesses were *Staphylococcus* species (frequency 17%). *Staphylococcus aureus* was identified in 11% of patients and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* in 2%. The species were not specified of the remaining strains. Gram negative enteric bacteria were found in 12% of cases and most of these were *Proteus spp*. (7%). Other relatively frequent pathogens were *Bacteroides spp*. (7%), *Haemophilus spp* (3%) and *Peptostreptococcus spp* (3%). In these included studies 17% of bacteria were classified as 'other' or were not specified.

Anaerobe bacteria are present in virtually all polymicrobial abscesses and are frequently found in cerebral abscesses with a dentogenic focus. The frequency of anaerobes given in Supplementary table 10 may be an underestimation due to suboptimal culture techniques and transportation.

16S ribosomal DNA sequencing has expanded the yield of microbiological investigation in patients with intracerebral abscesses.¹¹⁴ The number of causative pathogens identified by sequencing of brain abscess aspirate was over threefold higher compared to standard culture techniques (22 strains identified by culture *vs.* 72 by sequencing).¹¹⁴ This technique is currently not commonly used, but will probably become part of routine diagnostics in the coming years.

Key question 8: What is the optimal (empirical) antibiotic therapy in intracerebral abscess?

No clinical trials have been performed to determine the optimal regimen in bacterial intracerebral abscesses, therefore the recommendations are all based on expert opinion.^{8,9} Empirical treatment consists of a third generation cephalosporin (ceftriaxone or cefotaxime) combined with metronidazole in appropriate doses to penetrate the blood brain barrier. Alternatively penicillin may be used instead of third generation cephalosporins. After identification of the causative microorganism the antimicrobial therapy can be adapted according to the antimicrobial resistance pattern. In culture-negative cases the empirical therapy should be continued until clinical resolution (see question 10).

The primary source of infection can give a clue to which bacteria cause the cerebral abscesses. For instance, *Enterobacteriaceae* are the most frequently found in cerebral abscesses due to otogenic infections. However, since virtually all common pathogens have been reported for the various sources of infection, the choice of empirical antimicrobial therapy cannot be guided by the source of infection (*e.g.* dental abscess, endocarditis).

Key question 9. What is the optimal dose of antimicrobial therapy?

Only a limited number of antimicrobials have been studied for their penetration into brain abscesses, mostly in small studies.⁵³ Antimicrobials have to be able to first penetrate the blood brain barrier and then to achieve sufficient levels inside the abscess to resolve the infection. Penicillin concentrations were only found consistently in brain abscess pus if administered in a high dose of 24 million units per day.¹¹⁵ Commonly advised doses of penicillin are however 12 million units per day in adults.^{8,9} Other antimicrobials that have shown adequate or good penetration into the abscess cavity are metronidazole, vancomycin and third generation cephalosporins.⁵³ The dosage and dose intervals of antimicrobials commonly used for brain abscesses are presented in table 6. For vancomycin and aminoglycosides, the dose needs to be adjusted according to the peak and trough serum concentrations.

Antibiotic	Total daily dose	Dose interval (hour)
Amikacin ^b	15 mg/kg	24
Amoxicillin	12 gr	4
Azitromycin	1200-1500 mg	24
Cefotaxime	8-12 gr	4-6
Ceftazidime	6 gr	8
Ceftriaxone	4 gr	12
Chloramphenicol	4-6 gr or 50 mg/kg/day	6
Ciprofloxacin	800-1200 mg	8-12
Clindamycin	2400-4800 mg	6
Gentamicin ^b	5 mg/kg	24
Meropenem	6 gr	8
Metronidazol	1500 mg	8
Penicillin	12x10 ⁶ units	4 (or continuous)
Rifampicin	600	24
Tobramycin ^b	5 mg/kg	24
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	10-20 mg/kg iv (based on TMP component),	8
(TMP/SMX)	max. 960/4800 mg TMP/SMX iv	8
Vancomycin ^b	30-45 mg/kg, max. 2000 mg	12

Table 6. Dosages of antibiotics for use in adults with bacterial brain abscesses.^a

Adapted from⁸. ^aPatients with normal hepatic and renal function, intravenous administration. ^bAdjust dosage based on peak and trough serum concentrations.

Key question 10. What is the optimal duration of therapy?

No studies have been performed to evaluate the optimal duration of therapy in bacterial brain abscesses. The common strategy is to treat the abscess at least 6 weeks and to use clinical, laboratory and radiological follow-up data to decide on further treatment beyond this period.^{8,9} Studies on antimicrobial treatment duration for cerebral abscesses are needed.

Conclusions

*	No clinical trials have been performed to determine the optimal antibiotic treatment in
	bacterial intracerebral abscesses,

Recommendations

- Empirical treatment for bacterial cerebral abscesses consists of a third generation cephalosporin (ceftriaxone or cefotaxime) combined with metronidazole in appropriate doses to penetrate the blood brain barrier (Table 6). Alternatively, penicillin may be used instead of a third generation cephalosporin.
- 2. If a microorganism is cultured, therapy can be adjusted accordingly. In culture-negative cases the empirical therapy should be continued until clinical resolution.
- 3. The common strategy for antibiotic treatment of cerebral abscesses is to treat the abscess at least 6 weeks and to use clinical, laboratory and radiological follow-up data to decide on further treatment beyond this period.

Chapter 9

Epidemiology, empirical antibiotic treatment and treatment duration of bacterial tuberculous meningitis *(in preparation)*

Key question 11. What is the epidemiology and empirical treatment for tuberculous meningitis (in preparation)?

Key question 12. What is the optimal duration of therapy for tuberculous meningitis (in preparation)?

new0-150 days (NICU) 1997-20042-28 days 1996-19970-28 days 2005-2010trudy period1977-20041996-19971984-19912005-2010Tran-positivetreptococcus agalactiae3769771178 (54%)treptococcus mitis0010100.3%)isteria monocytogenes171110 (3.0%)treptococcus pneumoniae280313 (3.9%)irren-positive cocci (not specified)1200012 (3.6%)irren-positive cocci (not specified)120015 (1.5%)treptococcus apreumoniae2170219 (5.7%)irren-positive bacteria (not specified)1103 (0.9%)scherichia coli1260004 (1.2%)trenebacter spp.21003 (0.9%)3 (0.9%)cinetobacter spp.20103 (0.9%)cinetobacter spp.30104 (1.2%)trenebacter spp.30104 (1.2%)trenebacter spp.30104 (1.2%)trenebacter spp.30104 (1.2%)trenebacter spp.30010.3%)trenebacter spp.30104 (1.2%)trenebacter spp.30001<(0.3%)	Data source	Garges (2006) ¹³	Holt (2001) ¹⁵	Hristeva (1993) ¹⁴	NRLBM	Total
tudy period1997-2041996-19971984-19912005-2010Tran-positive169771178 (54%)treptococcus agalactiae3769771178 (54%)treptococcus mitis001010.3%)isteria monocytogenes171110 (3.0%)isteria monocytogenes171110 (3.0%)iram-positive cocci (not specified)1200313 (3.9%)iram-positive cocci (not specified)1200012 (3.6%)iram-positive cocci (not specified)120006 (1.8%)iram-positive cocci (not specified)120015 (1.5%)iram-negative2170219 (5.7%)iram-negative21003 (0.9%)iran-negative21004 (1.2%)iran-negative21003 (0.9%)iran-negative21004 (1.2%)iran-negative20103 (0.9%)iran-negative21003 (0.9%)iran-negative21004 (1.2%)iran-negative21004 (1.2%)iran-negative21004 (1.2%)iran-negative21004 (1.2%)iran-negative21 <td>Country</td> <td>US</td> <td>UK</td> <td>UK</td> <td>NL</td> <td></td>	Country	US	UK	UK	NL	
Fram-positive Fram-positive treptococcus agalactiae 37 69 7 71 178 (54%) treptococcus mitis 0 1 0 1(0.3%) isteria monocytogenes 1 7 1 1 10 (3.0%) isteria monocytogenes 1 7 1 1 10 (3.0%) isteria monocytogenes 1 7 1 1 10 (3.0%) isteria monocytogenes 1 7 1 13 (3.9%) irram-positive cocci (not specified) 12 0 0 12 (3.6%) irram-cocccus spp. 6 0 0 1 5 (1.5%) taphylococcus aureus 4 0 0 1 5 (1.5%) ther Gram-positive bacteria (not specified) 17 0 2 19 (5.7%) interobacter spp. 2 1 0 0 4 (1.2%) interobacter spp. 4 0 0 3 (0.9%) 3 (0.9%) cinetobacter spp. 3 0<	Age	0-150 days (NICU)	2-28 days	0-28 days	0-28 days	
treptococcus agalactiae 37 69 7 71 $178 (54\%)$ treptococcus mitis001 0.3% isteria monocytogenes1711 $10 (3.0\%)$ treptococcus pneumoniae2803 $13 (3.9\%)$ iran-positive cocci (not specified)12000 $12 (3.6\%)$ interococcus spp.6000 $6 (1.8\%)$ taphylococcus aureus4001 $5 (1.5\%)$ ther Gram-positive bacteria (not specified)1702 $19 (5.7\%)$ tram-negative12260 $3 (0.9\%)$ scherichia coli12260 $4 (1.2\%)$ erratia spp.201 $3 (0.9\%)$ cinterobacter spp.300 $3 (0.9\%)$ cinterobacter spp.300 $3 (0.9\%)$ cinterobacter spp.301 $0.0\%)$ steadomonas spp.301 $0.0\%)$ i'trobacter spp.10 $1 (0.3\%)$ i'trobacter spp.10 $1 (0.3\%)$	Study period	1997-2004	1996-1997	1984-1991	2005-2010	
101010treptococcus mitis071110 (3.%)isteria monocytogenes171110 (3.0%)treptococcus pneumoniae280313 (3.9%)iram-positive cocci (not specified)1200012 (3.6%)interococcus spp.60006 (1.8%)taphylococcus aureus40015 (1.5%)taphylococcus aureus40015 (1.5%)tam-positive bacteria (not specified)170219 (5.7%)tram-negative1003 (0.9%)taemophilus influenzae21004 (1.2%)interobacter spp.4003 (0.9%)3 (0.9%)icheobacter spp.30103 (0.9%)icheobacter spp.30104 (1.2%)icheobacter spp.30104 (1.2%)icheobacter spp.30104 (1.2%)icheobacter spp.30104 (1.2%)icheobacter spp.1001 (0.3%)icheobacter spp.1001 (0.3%)icheobacter spp.1001 (0.3%)icheobacter spp.1001 (0.3%)icheobacter spp.1001 (0.3%)icheobacter spp.10 <td>Gram-positive</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Gram-positive					
isteria monocytogenes 1 7 1 1 10 (3.0%) treptococcus pneumoniae 2 8 0 3 13 (3.9%) iran-positive cocci (not specified) 12 0 0 0 12 (3.6%) interococcus spp. 6 0 0 0 6 (1.8%) taphylococcus aureus 4 0 0 1 5 (1.5%) tathylococcus aureus 4 0 0 1 5 (1.5%) tathylococcus aureus 4 0 0 1 5 (1.5%) tathylococcus aureus 4 0 0 2 19 (5.7%) tather Gram-positive bacteria (not specified) 17 0 2 19 (5.7%) tamophilus influenzae 2 1 0 0 3 (0.9%) ischerichia coli 12 26 0 25 57 (15.7%) interobacter spp. 2 0 1 0 3 (0.9%) cinetobacter spp. 3 0 1 0 3 (0.9%) vetual spp. 3 0 1 <td< td=""><td>Streptococcus agalactiae</td><td>37</td><td>69</td><td>7</td><td>71</td><td>178 (54%)</td></td<>	Streptococcus agalactiae	37	69	7	71	178 (54%)
Interplococcus pneumoniae280313 (3.9%)irram-positive cocci (not specified)1200012 (3.6%)interococcus spp.60006 (1.8%)taphylococcus aureus40015 (1.5%)other Gram-positive bacteria (not specified)170219 (5.7%)irram-negative122603 (0.9%)ischerichia coli122602557 (15.7%)interobacter spp.40004 (1.2%)icnetobacter spp.20103 (0.9%)icnetobacter spp.30004 (1.2%)icnetobacter spp.30104 (1.2%)icnetobacter spp.1001 (0.3%)1icnetobacter spp.1001 (0.3%)icnetos spp.1001 (0.3%)	Streptococcus mitis	0	0	1	0	1 (0.3%)
irram-positive cocci (not specified)1200012 (3.6%) $irram-positive cocci (not specified)60006 (1.8%)taphylococcus aureus40015 (1.5%)taphylococcus aureus40015 (1.5%)taphylococcus aureus400219 (5.7%)taram-negative170219 (5.7%)taram-negative122602557 (15.7%)interobacter spp.40004 (1.2%)interobacter spp.20103 (0.9%)cinetobacter spp.30104 (1.2%)iseudomonas spp.30104 (1.2%)irtobacter spp.1001 (0.3%)1 (0.3%)irtobacter spp.1001 (0.3%)1 (0.3%)$	Listeria monocytogenes	1	7	1	1	10 (3.0%)
interococcus spp.60006 (1.8%)taphylococcus aureus40015 (1.5%)Dather Gram-positive bacteria (not specifie)170219 (5.7%) Eram-negative Kaemophilus influenzae21003 (0.9%)Scherichia coli122602557 (15.7%)Interobacter spp.40004 (1.2%)erratia spp.20103 (0.9%)cinetobacter spp.30004 (1.2%)seudomonas spp.30104 (1.2%)roteus spp.1001 (0.3%)1 (0.3%)Citrobacter spp.1001 (0.3%)1 (0.3%)	Streptococcus pneumoniae	2	8	0	3	13 (3.9%)
taphylococcus aureus 4 0 0 1 5 (1.5%) taphylococcus aureus 4 0 0 2 19 (5.7%) ther Gram-positive bacteria (not specified) 17 0 2 19 (5.7%) trammegative 12 1 0 0 3 (0.9%) Scherichia coli 12 26 0 25 57 (15.7%) Interobacter spp. 4 0 0 0 4 (1.2%) erratia spp. 2 0 1 0 3 (0.9%) cinetobacter spp. 3 0 0 0 4 (1.2%) seudomonas spp. 3 0 1 0 4 (1.2%) troteus spp. 1 0 0 4 (1.2%) troteus spp. 3 0 1 0 4 (1.2%) troteus spp. 1 0 0 1 (0.3%) 1 (0.3%)	Gram-positive cocci (not specified)	12	0	0	0	12 (3.6%)
Dather Gram-positive bacteria (not specified)170219 (5.7%)Gram-negative1003 (0.9%)Iaemophilus influenzae21003 (0.9%)Scherichia coli122602557 (15.7%)Interobacter spp.40004 (1.2%)erratia spp.20103 (0.9%)cinetobacter spp.30004 (1.2%)Steudomonas spp.30104 (1.2%)Proteus spp.1001 (0.3%)Cirrobacter spp.1001 (0.3%)	Enterococcus spp.	6	0	0	0	6 (1.8%)
Gram-negative 2 1 0 0 3 (0.9%) Maemophilus influenzae 2 1 0 0 3 (0.9%) Scherichia coli 12 26 0 25 57 (15.7%) Enterobacter spp. 4 0 0 0 4 (1.2%) erratia spp. 2 0 1 0 3 (0.9%) cinetobacter spp. 2 0 1 0 3 (0.9%) seudomonas spp. 3 0 0 0 4 (1.2%) Proteus spp. 1 0 0 1 (0.3%) Stirobacter spp. 1 0 0 1 (0.3%)	Staphylococcus aureus	4	0	0	1	5 (1.5%)
Haemophilus influenzae21003 (0.9%)Scherichia coli122602557 (15.7%)Enterobacter spp.40004 (1.2%)erratia spp.20103 (0.9%)cinetobacter spp.30004 (1.2%)erratia spp.30003 (0.9%)cinetobacter spp.30003 (0.9%)erratia spp.30003 (0.9%)cinetobacter spp.30004 (1.2%)Proteus spp.10001 (0.3%)Cirrobacter spp.10001 (0.3%)	Other Gram-positive bacteria (not speci	fied) 0	17	0	2	19 (5.7%)
Scherichia coli122602557 (15.7%)Interobacter spp.40004 (1.2%)erratia spp.20103 (0.9%)cinetobacter spp.30003 (0.9%)seudomonas spp.30104 (1.2%)Proteus spp.1001 (0.3%)Sitrobacter spp.1001 (0.3%)	Gram-negative					
Interobacter spp.40004 (1.2%)erratia spp.20103 (0.9%)cinetobacter spp.30003 (0.9%)seudomonas spp.30104 (1.2%)Proteus spp.1001 (0.3%)Sitrobacter spp.1001 (0.3%)	Haemophilus influenzae	2	1	0	0	3 (0.9%)
erratia spp.20103 (0.9%)cinetobacter spp.30003 (0.9%)seudomonas spp.30104 (1.2%)Proteus spp.10001 (0.3%)Strobacter spp.10001 (0.3%)	Escherichia coli	12	26	0	25	57 (15.7%)
cinetobacter spp.3003 (0.9%)Seudomonas spp.30104 (1.2%)Proteus spp.10001 (0.3%)Citrobacter spp.10001 (0.3%)	Enterobacter spp.	4	0	0	0	4 (1.2%)
Proteus spp. 3 0 1 0 4 (1.2%) Proteus spp. 1 0 0 0 1 (0.3%) Vitrobacter spp. 1 0 0 0 1 (0.3%)	Serratia spp.	2	0	1	0	3 (0.9%)
Proteus spp. 1 0 0 0 1 (0.3%) Sitrobacter spp. 1 0 0 0 1 (0.3%)	Acinetobacter spp.	3	0	0	0	3 (0.9%)
<i>Titrobacter spp.</i> 1 0 0 0 1 (0.3%)	Pseudomonas spp.	3	0	1	0	4 (1.2%)
	Proteus spp.	1	0	0	0	1 (0.3%)
<i>almonella spp.</i> 1 0 0 1 2 (0.6%)	Citrobacter spp.	1	0	0	0	1 (0.3%)
	Salmonella spp.	1	0	0	1	2 (0.6%)

Supplementary table 1. Causative organisms of bacterial meningitis in neonates

Total	93	144	15	111	363
Other Gram-negative bacteria	0	11	1	7	19 (5.7%)
Achromobacter xylosoxidans	0	0	1	0	1 (0.3%)
Klebsiella spp.	0	0	2	0	2 (0.3%)
Neisseria spp.	2	5	0	0	7 (2.1%)

Supplementary table 2. Causative organisms of bacterial meningitis in children aged 29 days-16 years

Data source	NRLBM
Study period	2005-2010
Gram-positive bacteria	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Streptococcus pneumoniae	305 (43.6%)
Streptococcus agalactiae	9 (1.3%)
Streptococcus pyogenes	14 (2.0%)
Streptococcus sanguinis	1 (0.1%)
"α-haemolytic" streptococcus	1 (0.1%)
Staphylococcus aureus	4 (0.6%)
Staphylococcus lugdunensis	1 (0.1%)
Staphylococcus capitis	1 (0.1%)
Staphylococcus epidermidis	2 (0.3%)
Coagulase-negative staphylococcu.	s 1 (0.1%)
Listeria monocytogenes	3 (0.4%)
Gram-negative bacteria	
Neisseria meningitidis	271 (38.8%)
Haemophilus influenzae	76 (10.9%)
Escherichia coli	10 (1.4%)
Total	699 (100.0%)

Data source	DBMS ¹⁰	MeninGene ¹¹	
Study period	1998-2002	2006-2010	Total (%)
Gram-positive bacteria			
Streptococcus pneumoniae	352	582	934 (62.3%)
Streptococcus pyogenes	6	11	17 (1.1%)
Streptococcus agalactiae	5	9	14 (0.9%)
Streptococcus constellatus	1	0	1 (0.1%)
Streptococcus milleri	0	1	1 (0.1%)
Streptococcus parasanguinis	0	1	1 (0.1%)
Streptococcus oralis	1	1	2 (0.1%)
Streptococcus suis	4	5	9 (0.6%)
Streptococcus salivarius	2	2	4 (0.3%)
Streptococcus bovis	1	2	3 (0.2%)
Streptococcus equi	1	3	4 (0.3%)
Streptococcus mitis	1	2	3 (0.2%)
Group G Streptococcus	1	1	2 (0.1%)
Streptococcus (not specified)	1	2	3 (0.2%)
Enterococcus faecalis	0	2	2 (0.1%)
Staphylococcus aureus	9	12	21 (1.4%)
Staphylococcus epidermidis	1	0	1 (0.1%)
Listeria monocytogenes	30	42	72 (4.8%)
Gram-negative bacteria			
Neisseria meningitidis	257	91	348 (23.2%)
Haemophilus influenzae	14	26	40 (2.7%)
Haemophilus parainfluenzae	1	1	2 (0.1%)
Escherichia coli	4	7	11 (0.7%)
Klebsiella pneumoniae	1	1	2 (0.1%)
Salmonella enteritidis	0	1	1 (0.1%)
Capnocytophaga canimorsus	0	1	1 (0.1%)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	0	1	1 (0.1%)
Total	693	807	1500 (100%)

Supplementary table 3. Causative organisms in 1500 episodes of culture-proven community-acquired bacterial meningitis in adults

Data source	MeninGene ¹¹					
Study period	2005-2010					
Study group	17-50 years old					
	no risk factors ^a					
Gram-positive bacteria						
Streptococcus pneumoniae	96 (52.4%)					
Streptococcus pyogenes	6 (3.3%)					
Streptococcus agalactiae	3 (1.6%)					
Streptococcus suis	3 (1.6%)					
Streptococcus equi	2 (1.1%)					
Streptococcus mitis	1 (0.5%)					
Staphylococcus aureus	2 (1.1%)					
Listeria monocytogenes	3 (1.6%)					
Gram-negative bacteria						
Neisseria meningitidis	59 (32.2%)					
Haemophilus influenzae	7 (3.8%)					
Mixed ^b	2 (1.1%)					
Total	183					

Supplementary table 4. Causative organisms in culture-proven community-acquired bacterial meningitis in adults aged 17-50 without risk factors.

^aRisk factors: recent head injury, cerebrospinal fluid leak, diabetes mellitus, immunosuppressive therapy, splenectomy, infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).

 $^{b}Streptococcus \ equi + Pseudomonas \ aeruginosa, \ Streptococcus \ milleri + Fusobacterium \ nucleatum$

Study	Mayhall ¹¹⁶	Stenager ¹¹⁷	Ohrstrom ¹¹⁸	Coplin ¹¹⁹	Lyke ¹²⁰	Wong ¹²¹	Pfisterer ¹²²	Park ¹²³	Arabi ¹²⁴	Leverstein ¹²⁵	Schade ¹²⁶	Total (%)
Study period	1979-1981	1984	1981-1986	1992-1995	1995-1998	1998-2000	1993-1995	1995-2003	1999-2002	2004-2006	1999-2003	
Country	US	Denmark	Denmark	US	US	China	Austria	US	Saudi- Arabia	NL	NL	
Gram-positive bacteria	ļ											
Streptococcus pyogenes	1			1								2 (0.7%)
Streptococcus mitis	1											1 (0.4%)
Streptococcus morbillorum							1					1 (0.4%)
Streptococcus spp ^a		1					1		1			3 (1.1%)
Enterococcus faecalis	1	1					2		2	5	2	13 (4.8%)
Staphylococcus aureus	1		9	2			5		1	10	6	34 (12.6%)
Staphylococcus epidermidis		12					16					28 (9.4%)
CNS ^b	6		15	6	2	2	1	31	3	20	9	95 (35.3%)
Staphylococcus saprophyticus							1					1 (0.4%)
Corynebacterium spp.				1			2	2				5 (1.9%)
Bacillus spp.								1		2	1	4 (1.5%)
Gram-negative												
bacteria												
Escherichia coli	1									3	1	5 (1.9%)
Klebsiella pneumoniae	1		2	1	4		2	1		2	1	14 (5.2%)
Enterobacter spp.	2			1	2		4	1	4	2		16 (5.9%)

CONCEPT SWAB richtlijn Bacterial CNS Infections

Serratia spp.	1				1			1				3 (1.1%)
Proteus spp.					1			1			1	3 (1.1%)
Acinetobacter spp.	2	1	1	1		2	1	1	6		1	16 (5.9%)
Pseudomonas				1			2	2	2	1		8 (3.0%)
aeruginosa				1			2	2	2	1		8 (3.070)
Flavobacterium spp.								1				1 (0.4%)
Mixed				1				4		1		6 (2.2%)
Other	1				1		6	2				10 (3.7%)
Total	19	16	27	13	11	6	44	51	19	46	22	269

 $^a Not \ specified, ^b Coagulase-negative \ Staphylococcus$

Study	Walters ¹²⁷	Filka ¹²⁸	Vinchon ⁴⁵	Kestle ¹²⁹	Sacar ¹³⁰	Conen ⁴⁶	
Study period	1960-1979	1990-1997	1985-2005	2001-2004	2000-2004	1996-2006	
Country	Canada	Slovakia	France	US	Turkey	Switzerland	
Gram-positive bacteria							
Streptococcus pneumoniae			2				2 (0.3%)
Streptococcus agalactiae		1					1 (0.2%)
<i>Streptococcus</i> ^a		1				3	4 (0.6%)
Enterococcus faecalis	24	4	11		1	1	41 (6.3%)
Staphylococcus aureus	77	7	23	9	6	14	136 (20.9%)
CNS ^b		28				29	57 (8.8%)
Staphylococcus	128		36	34	3		201 (30.9%)
epidermidis							
Listeria monocytogenes			1				1 (0.2%)
Gram-negative bacteria							
Neisseria meningitidis			1				1 (0.2%)
Haemophilus influenzae			7				7 (1.1%)
Flavobacterium spp.					1		1 (0.2%)
Escherichia coli	48				1		49 (7.5%)
Klebsiella pneumoniae	43				1		44 (6.8%)
Enterobacter spp.		1			1	3	5 (0.8%)
Acinetobacter spp.		6			4		10 (1.5%)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	19	4			2		25 (3.8%)
Gram negative rods ^a			19				19 (2.9%)
Mixed						12	12 (1.8%)
Other	8			27			35 (5.4%)
Total number of episodes	222	33	102	70	20	71	518
Total cultured bacteria	347	53	102	70	20	83	651

Supplementary table 6. Bacterial meningitis in patients with internal CSF drains

^aNot specified, ^bCoagulase-negative Staphylococcus

Study	McLelland III ⁵²	Aucoin ¹³¹	Kourbeti ¹³²	Federico ¹³³	Wang ¹³⁴	Zarrouk ¹³⁵	
Study period	1991-2005	1976-1981	1996-2000	1989-1997	1986-2001	1998-2005	
Country	USA	USA	USA	Italy	Taiwan	France	
Gram-positive bacteria							
Streptococcus pneumoniae					3	2	5 (3.0%)
Streptococcus spp. ^a					3	2	5 (3.0%)
Enterococcus faecalis			1		1		2 (1.2%)
Staphylococcus aureus	8	2	2	9	13	5	39 (23.2%)
CNS ^b		1	5		7	3	16 (9.5%)
Staphylococcus epidermidis				19			19 (11.3%)
Corynebacterium				2			2 (1.2%)
Propionibacterium acnes	4						4 (2.4%)
Bacillus spp.			1		1		2 (1.2%)
Gram-negative bacteria							
Haemophilus influenzae			1		1	2	4 (2.4%)
Escherichia coli		1			5	2	8 (4.8%)
Klebsiella pneumoniae		4			3	1	8 (4.8%)
Klebsiella oxytoca					1		1 (0.6%)
Enterobacter spp.		1		1	3	1	6 (3.6%)
Serratia spp.		1	2	3	1	1	8 (4.8%)
Proteus spp.					1		1 (0.6%)
Morganella morganii					1	1	2 (1.2%)
Acinetobacter spp.			1	4	4		9 (5.4%)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	1		1	1	5		8 (4.8%)
Mixed	2	2	3	7	5		19 (11.3%)

Supplementary table 7. Postoperative bacterial meningitis

Total	14	12	12	52	62	20	168

^aNot specified, ^bCoagulase-negative *Staphylococcus*

Supplementary table 8. Posttraumatic bacterial meningitis

Study	Baltas ⁵⁸		
Country	Greece		
Study period	1987-1992		
Gram-positive			
Staphylococcus haemolyticus	1		
Staphylococcus cohnii	1		
Staphylococcus epidermidis	1		
Streptococcus pneumoniae	1		
Gram-negative			
Escherichia coli	2		
Klebsiella pneumoniae	2		
Acinetobacter anitratus	2		
Total	11		

Supplementary table 9. Culture results presented in 51 studies on intracerebral abscesses including 3583 patients.

Characteristics	n/N (%)		
Culture data specified	2536/3583 (71%)		
Culture not performed	435/2536 (17%)		
Positive culture	1478/2101 (70%)		
Monomicrobial	1083/1457 (74%)		
Polymicrobial	374/1457 (26%)		
Negative culture	623/2101 (30%)		

Supplementary table 10. Overview of 2653 causative bacteria cultured from patients with intracerebral abscesses from 51 studies including >10 patients.

Bacteria	No (%)
Staphylococcus spp	454 (17.1%)
Staphylococcus aureus	292 (11.0%)
Staphylococcus epidermidis	62 (2.3%)
Staphylococci other/not specified	100 (3.8%)
Streptococcus spp	953 (35.9%)
Aerobic streptococci	39 (1.5%)
Haemolytic streptococci	34 (1.3%)
Anhaemolytic streptococci	27 (1.0%)
Streptococcus milleri/ viridans streptococci	219 (8.3%)
Microaerophilic streptococci	55 (2.1%)
Streptococcus pneumoniae	72 (2.7%)
Streptococcus other/not specified	397 (15.0%)
Gram negative enteric rods	313 (11.8%)
Escherichia coli	42 (1.6%)
Klebsiella spp	30 (1.1%)
Proteus spp	183 (6.9%)
Other Gram-negative enteric rods	58 (2.2%)
Pseudomonas spp	47 (1.8%)
Haemophilus spp	85 (3.2%)
Actinomycetales	80 (3.0%)
Nocardia	14 (0.5%)
Actinomyces	25 (0.9%)
Corynebacterium	29 (1.1%)
Other Actinomycetales	20 (0.8%)
Peptostreptoccus spp	88 (3.3%)
Anaerobic streptococci	71 (2.7%)
Bacteroides spp	181 (6.8%)
Other	199 (7.5%)
Not specified	253 (9.5%)

REFERENCES

- 1. CBO. Kwaliteitsinstituut voor de Gezondheidszorg CBO, Handleiding voor werkgroepleden. http://www.cbo.nl/Downloads/222/EBRO_handl_totaal.pdf
- 2. van de Beek D, Weisfelt M, de Gans J, Tunkel AR, Wijdicks EF. Drug Insight: adjunctive therapies in adults with bacterial meningitis. *Nat Clin Pract Neurol* 2006; 2: 504-16.
- 3. van de Beek D, Brouwer MC, de Gans J et al. *Richtlijn bacteriele meningitis*. Utrecht: Nederlandse Vereniging voor Neurologie; 2011.
- 4. van de Beek D, Drake JM, Tunkel AR. Nosocomial bacterial meningitis. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 362: 146-54.
- 5. Bohr V, Rasmussen N, Hansen B et al. 875 cases of bacterial meningitis: diagnostic procedures and the impact of preadmission antibiotic therapy. Part III of a three-part series. *J Infect* 1983; 7: 193-202.
- 6. Brouwer MC, Tunkel AR, van de Beek D. Epidemiology, diagnosis, and antimicrobial treatment of acute bacterial meningitis. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2010; 23: 467-92.
- 7. Spanos A, Harrell FE, Jr., Durack DT. Differential diagnosis of acute meningitis. An analysis of the predictive value of initial observations. *JAMA* 1989; 262: 2700-7.
- 8. Tunkel AR. Brain abscess. In: Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Dolin R, editors. *Principles and practive of infectious diseases*. 7 ed. Philadelphia: Churchill livingstone; 2010. p. 1265-78.
- Kastenbauer S, Pfister HW, Wispelwey B, Scheld WM. Brain abscess. In: Scheld WM, Whitley RJ, Marra CM, editors. *Infections of the central nervous system*. 3 ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2004. p. 479-507.
- 10. van de Beek D, de Gans J, Spanjaard L, Weisfelt M, Reitsma JB, Vermeulen M. Clinical features and prognostic factors in adults with bacterial meningitis. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 351: 1849-59.
- 11. Brouwer MC, Heckenberg SG, de GJ, Spanjaard L, Reitsma JB, van de Beek D. Nationwide implementation of adjunctive dexamethasone therapy for pneumococcal meningitis. *Neurology* 2010.
- 12. van de Beek D, de Gans J, Tunkel AR, Wijdicks EF. Community-acquired bacterial meningitis in adults. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 354: 44-53.
- 13. Garges HP, Moody MA, Cotten CM et al. Neonatal meningitis: what is the correlation among cerebrospinal fluid cultures, blood cultures, and cerebrospinal fluid parameters? *Pediatrics* 2006; 117: 1094-100.
- 14. Hristeva L, Booy R, Bowler I, Wilkinson AR. Prospective surveillance of neonatal meningitis. *Arch Dis Child* 1993; 69: 14-8.
- 15. Holt DE, Halket S, de LJ, Harvey D. Neonatal meningitis in England and Wales: 10 years on. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2001; 84: F85-F89.
- 16. Galiza EP, Heath PT. Improving the outcome of neonatal meningitis. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2009; 22: 229-34.
- 17. Heath PT, Nik Yusoff NK, Baker CJ. Neonatal meningitis. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2003; 88: F173-F178.
- 18. Siegal T, Pfeffer MR, Steiner I. Antibiotic therapy for infected Ommaya reservoir systems. *Neurosurgery* 1988; 22: 97-100.

- 19. Cooper MD, Keeney RE, Lyons SF, Cheatle EL. Synergistic effects of ampicillin-aminoglycoside combinations on group B streptococci. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1979; 15: 484-6.
- 20. Netherlands Reference Laboratory for Bacterial Meningitis (AMC/RIVM). *Bacterial meningitis in the Netherlands Annual report 2010*. Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam; 2011.
- 21. Odio CM, Puig JR, Feris JM et al. Prospective, randomized, investigator-blinded study of the efficacy and safety of meropenem vs. cefotaxime therapy in bacterial meningitis in children. Meropenem Meningitis Study Group. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1999; 18: 581-90.
- 22. Brouwer MC, Heckenberg SG, de Gans J, Spanjaard L, Reitsma JB, van de Beek D. Nationwide implementation of adjunctive dexamethasone therapy for pneumococcal meningitis. *Neurology* 2010; 75: 1533-9.
- 23. Heckenberg SG, de Gans J, Brouwer MC et al. Clinical features, outcome, and meningococcal genotype in 258 adults with meningococcal meningitis: a prospective cohort study. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2008; 87: 185-92.
- 24. Brouwer MC, van de Beek D, Heckenberg SG, Spanjaard L, de Gans J. Community-acquired Listeria monocytogenes meningitis in adults. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; 43: 1233-8.
- 25. Karageorgopoulos DE, Valkimadi PE, Kapaskelis A, Rafailidis PI, Falagas ME. Short versus long duration of antibiotic therapy for bacterial meningitis: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials in children. *Arch Dis Child* 2009; 94: 607-14.
- 26. van de Beek D, Brouwer MC. No difference between short-course and long-course antibiotics for bacterial meningitis in children, but available evidence limited. *Evid Based Med* 2010; 15: 6-7.
- 27. Molyneux E, Nizami SQ, Saha S et al. 5 versus 10 days of treatment with ceftriaxone for bacterial meningitis in children: a double-blind randomised equivalence study. *Lancet* 2011; 377: 1837-45.
- 28. Tunkel AR, Hartman BJ, Kaplan SL et al. Practice guidelines for the management of bacterial meningitis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004; 39: 1267-84.
- 29. van der Meer H, van ZA, Spanjaard L, Van FM. [An infant with meningitis caused by resistant pneumococcus: infection despite vaccination]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd* 2012; 156: A3806.
- 30. Mitja O, Pigrau C, Ruiz I et al. Predictors of mortality and impact of aminoglycosides on outcome in listeriosis in a retrospective cohort study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009; 64: 416-23.
- 31. Lorber B. Listeriosis. Clin Infect Dis 1997; 24: 1-9.
- 32. *Red Book: 2009 report of the committee on infectious diseases.* 28 ed. Elk Grove Village, IL, USA: American Academy of Pediatrics; 2009.
- 33. Falagas ME, Bliziotis IA, Tam VH. Intraventricular or intrathecal use of polymyxins in patients with Gram-negative meningitis: a systematic review of the available evidence. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2007; 29: 9-25.
- 34. Lozier AP, Sciacca RR, Romagnoli MF, Connolly ES, Jr. Ventriculostomy-related infections: a critical review of the literature. *Neurosurgery* 2008; 62 Suppl 2: 688-700.
- 35. Mayhall CG, Archer NH, Lamb VA et al. Ventriculostomy-related infections. A prospective epidemiologic study. *N Engl J Med* 1984; 310: 553-9.
- 36. Stenager E, Gerner-Smidt P, Kock-Jensen C. Ventriculostomy-related infections--an epidemiological study. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 1986; 83: 20-3.
- 37. Coplin WM, Avellino AM, Kim DK, Winn HR, Grady MS. Bacterial meningitis associated with lumbar drains: a retrospective cohort study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1999; 67: 468-73.

- 38. Lyke KE, Obasanjo OO, Williams MA, O'Brien M, Chotani R, Perl TM. Ventriculitis complicating use of intraventricular catheters in adult neurosurgical patients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001; 33: 2028-33.
- 39. Wong GK, Poon WS, Wai S, Yu LM, Lyon D, Lam JM. Failure of regular external ventricular drain exchange to reduce cerebrospinal fluid infection: result of a randomised controlled trial. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2002; 73: 759-61.
- 40. Pfisterer W, Muhlbauer M, Czech T, Reinprecht A. Early diagnosis of external ventricular drainage infection: results of a prospective study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2003; 74: 929-32.
- 41. Park P, Garton HJ, Kocan MJ, Thompson BG. Risk of infection with prolonged ventricular catheterization. *Neurosurgery* 2004; 55: 594-9.
- 42. Arabi Y, Memish ZA, Balkhy HH et al. Ventriculostomy-associated infections: incidence and risk factors. *Am J Infect Control* 2005; 33: 137-43.
- 43. Schade RP, Schinkel J, Visser LG, Van Dijk JM, Voormolen JH, Kuijper EJ. Bacterial meningitis caused by the use of ventricular or lumbar cerebrospinal fluid catheters. *J Neurosurg* 2005; 102: 229-34.
- 44. Ohrstrom JK, Skou JK, Ejlertsen T, Kosteljanetz M. Infected ventriculostomy: bacteriology and treatment. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 1989; 100: 67-9.
- 45. Vinchon M, Dhellemmes P. Cerebrospinal fluid shunt infection: risk factors and long-term follow-up. *Childs Nerv Syst* 2006; 22: 692-7.
- 46. Conen A, Walti LN, Merlo A, Fluckiger U, Battegay M, Trampuz A. Characteristics and treatment outcome of cerebrospinal fluid shunt-associated infections in adults: a retrospective analysis over an 11-year period. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; 47: 73-82.
- 47. Walters BC, Hoffman HJ, Hendrick EB, Humphreys RP. Cerebrospinal fluid shunt infection. Influences on initial management and subsequent outcome. *J Neurosurg* 1984; 60: 1014-21.
- 48. Filka J, Huttova M, Tuharsky J, Sagat T, Kralinsky K, Krcmery V, Jr. Nosocomial meningitis in children after ventriculoperitoneal shunt insertion. *Acta Paediatr* 1999; 88: 576-8.
- 49. Kestle JR, Garton HJ, Whitehead WE et al. Management of shunt infections: a multicenter pilot study. J *Neurosurg* 2006; 105: 177-81.
- 50. Sacar S, Turgut H, Toprak S et al. A retrospective study of central nervous system shunt infections diagnosed in a university hospital during a 4-year period. *BMC Infect Dis* 2006; 6:43.: 43.
- 51. Korinek AM, Baugnon T, Golmard JL, van Effenterre R, Coriat P, Puybasset L. Risk factors for adult nosocomial meningitis after craniotomy: role of antibiotic prophylaxis. *Neurosurgery* 2008; 62 Suppl 2: 532-9.
- 52. McClelland S, III, Hall WA. Postoperative central nervous system infection: incidence and associated factors in 2111 neurosurgical procedures. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007; 45: 55-9.
- 53. Aucoin PJ, Kotilainen HR, Gantz NM, Davidson R, Kellogg P, Stone B. Intracranial pressure monitors. Epidemiologic study of risk factors and infections. *Am J Med* 1986; 80: 369-76.
- 54. Kourbeti IS, Jacobs AV, Koslow M, Karabetsos D, Holzman RS. Risk factors associated with postcraniotomy meningitis. *Neurosurgery* 2007; 60: 317-25.
- 55. Federico G, Tumbarello M, Spanu T et al. Risk factors and prognostic indicators of bacterial meningitis in a cohort of 3580 postneurosurgical patients. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2001; 33: 533-7.
- 56. Wang KW, Chang WN, Huang CR et al. Post-neurosurgical nosocomial bacterial meningitis in adults: microbiology, clinical features, and outcomes. *J Clin Neurosci* 2005; 12: 647-50.

- 57. Zarrouk V, Vassor I, Bert F et al. Evaluation of the management of postoperative aseptic meningitis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007; 44: 1555-9.
- 58. Baltas I, Tsoulfa S, Sakellariou P, Vogas V, Fylaktakis M, Kondodimou A. Posttraumatic meningitis: bacteriology, hydrocephalus, and outcome. *Neurosurgery* 1994; 35: 422-6.
- 59. Infection in neurosurgery working party of the british society for antimicrobial chemotherapy. The management of neurosurgical patients with postoperative bacterial or aseptic meningitis or external ventricular drain-associated ventriculitis. Infection in Neurosurgery Working Party of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. *Br J Neurosurg* 2000; 14: 7-12.
- 60. Schreffler RT, Schreffler AJ, Wittler RR. Treatment of cerebrospinal fluid shunt infections: a decision analysis. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2002; 21: 632-6.
- 61. Kim BN, Peleg AY, Lodise TP et al. Management of meningitis due to antibiotic-resistant Acinetobacter species. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2009; 9: 245-55.
- 62. Pfausler B, Spiss H, Beer R et al. Treatment of staphylococcal ventriculitis associated with external cerebrospinal fluid drains: a prospective randomized trial of intravenous compared with intraventricular vancomycin therapy. *J Neurosurg* 2003; 98: 1040-4.
- 63. Beller AJ, Sahar A, Praiss I. Brain abscess. Review of 89 cases over a period of 30 years. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1973; 36: 757-68.
- 64. Berlit P, Fedel C, Tornow K, Schmiedek P. [Bacterial brain abscess--experiences with 67 patients]. *Fortschr Neurol Psychiatr* 1996; 64: 297-306.
- 65. Carpenter J, Stapleton S, Holliman R. Retrospective analysis of 49 cases of brain abscess and review of the literature. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2007; 26: 1-11.
- 66. Hakan T, Ceran N, Erdem I, Berkman MZ, Goktas P. Bacterial brain abscesses: an evaluation of 96 cases. *J Infect* 2006; 52: 359-66.
- 67. Tattevin P, Bruneel F, Clair B et al. Bacterial brain abscesses: a retrospective study of 94 patients admitted to an intensive care unit (1980 to 1999). *Am J Med* 2003; 115: 143-6.
- 68. Smith SJ, Ughratdar I, MacArthur DC. Never go to sleep on undrained pus: a retrospective review of surgery for intraparenchymal cerebral abscess. *Br J Neurosurg* 2009; 23: 412-7.
- 69. Al Masalma M, Armougom F, Scheld WM et al. The expansion of the microbiological spectrum of brain abscesses with use of multiple 16S ribosomal DNA sequencing. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; 48: 1169-78.
- 70. de Lastours V, Kalamarides M, Leflon V et al. Optimization of bacterial diagnosis yield after needle aspiration in immunocompetent adults with brain abscesses. *Neurosurgery* 2008; 63: 362-7.
- Cavusoglu H, Kaya RA, Turkmenoglu ON, Colak I, Aydin Y. Brain abscess: analysis of results in a series of 51 patients with a combined surgical and medical approach during an 11-year period. *Neurosurg Focus* 2008; 24: E9.
- 72. Goodkin HP, Harper MB, Pomeroy SL. Intracerebral abscess in children: historical trends at Children's Hospital Boston. *Pediatrics* 2004; 113: 1765-70.
- 73. Le Moal G, Landron C, Grollier G et al. Characteristics of brain abscess with isolation of anaerobic bacteria. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2003; 35: 318-21.
- 74. Sennaroglu L, Sozeri B. Otogenic brain abscess: review of 41 cases. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2000; 123: 751-5.
- 75. Barlas O, Sencer A, Erkan K, Eraksoy H, Sencer S, Bayindir C. Stereotactic surgery in the management of brain abscess. *Surg Neurol* 1999; 52: 404-10.

- 76. Mamelak AN, Mampalam TJ, Obana WG, Rosenblum ML. Improved management of multiple brain abscesses: a combined surgical and medical approach. *Neurosurgery* 1995; 36: 76-85.
- 77. O'Donoghue MA, Green HT, Shaw MD. Cerebral abscess on Merseyside 1980-1988. *J Infect* 1992; 25: 163-72.
- 78. Tekkok IH, Erbengi A. Management of brain abscess in children: review of 130 cases over a period of 21 years. *Childs Nerv Syst* 1992; 8: 411-6.
- 79. Renier D, Flandin C, Hirsch E, Hirsch JF. Brain abscesses in neonates. A study of 30 cases. *J Neurosurg* 1988; 69: 877-82.
- 80. Chun CH, Johnson JD, Hofstetter M, Raff MJ. Brain abscess. A study of 45 consecutive cases. *Medicine* (*Baltimore*) 1986; 65: 415-31.
- 81. Brook I. Bacteriology of intracranial abscess in children. J Neurosurg 1981; 54: 484-8.
- 82. Shahzad K, Hamid MH, Khan MA, Malik N, Maqbool S. Brain abscess in children. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak* 2005; 15: 609-11.
- 83. de Louvois, ., Gortavai P, Hurley R. Bacteriology of abscesses of the central nervous system: a multicentre prospective study. *Br Med J* 1977; 2: 981-4.
- Jansson AK, Enblad P, Sjolin J. Efficacy and safety of cefotaxime in combination with metronidazole for empirical treatment of brain abscess in clinical practice: a retrospective study of 66 consecutive cases. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2004; 23: 7-14.
- 85. Bartt R. Listeria and atypical presentations of Listeria in the central nervous system. *Semin Neurol* 2000; 20: 361-73.
- 86. Roche M, Humphreys H, Smyth E et al. A twelve-year review of central nervous system bacterial abscesses; presentation and aetiology. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2003; 9: 803-9.
- 87. Mampalam TJ, Rosenblum ML. Trends in the management of bacterial brain abscesses: a review of 102 cases over 17 years. *Neurosurgery* 1988; 23: 451-8.
- 88. Bagdatoglu H, Ildan F, Cetinalp E et al. The clinical presentation of intracranial abscesses. A study of seventy-eight cases. *J Neurosurg Sci* 1992; 36: 139-43.
- 89. Miller ES, Dias PS, Uttley D. CT scanning in the management of intracranial abscess: a review of 100 cases. *Br J Neurosurg* 1988; 2: 439-46.
- Tonon E, Scotton PG, Gallucci M, Vaglia A. Brain abscess: clinical aspects of 100 patients. Int J Infect Dis 2006; 10: 103-9.
- 91. Gomez J, Garcia-Vazquez E, Martinez PM et al. [Brain abscess. The experience of 30 years]. *Med Clin* (*Barc*) 2008; 130: 736-9.
- 92. Lunardi P, Acqui M, Ferrante L, Mastronardi L, Fortuna A. Non-traumatic brain abscess. *Neurosurg Rev* 1993; 16: 189-96.
- 93. Nicolosi A, Hauser WA, Musicco M, Kurland LT. Incidence and prognosis of brain abscess in a defined population: Olmsted County, Minnesota, 1935-1981. *Neuroepidemiology* 1991; 10: 122-31.
- 94. Richards J, Sisson PR, Hickman JE, Ingham HR, Selkon JB. Microbiology, chemotherapy and mortality of brain abscess in Newcastle-upon-Tyne between 1979 and 1988. *Scand J Infect Dis* 1990; 22: 511-8.
- 95. Svanteson B, Nordstrom CH, Rausing A. Non-traumatic brain abscess. Epidemiology, clinical symptoms and therapeutic results. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 1988; 94: 57-65.

- Danziger A, Price H, Schechter MM. An analysis of 113 intracranial infections. *Neuroradiology* 1980; 19: 31-4.
- 97. Hilmani S, Riyahi S, Ibahioin K, Naja A, El KA, El AA. [Brain abscess (80 cases)]. *Neurochirurgie* 2009; 55: 40-4.
- 98. Morgan H, Wood MW, Murphey F. Experience with 88 consecutive cases of brain abscess. *J Neurosurg* 1973; 38: 698-704.
- 99. Carey ME, Chou SN, French LA. Long-term neurological residua in patients surviving brain abscess with surgery. *J Neurosurg* 1971; 34: 652-6.
- 100. Rish BL, Caveness WF, Dillon JD, Kistler JP, Mohr JP, Weiss GH. Analysis of brain abscess after penetrating craniocerebral injuries in Vietnam. *Neurosurgery* 1981; 9: 535-41.
- Gruszkiewicz J, Doron Y, Peyser E, Borovich B, Schachter J, Front D. Brain abscess and its surgical management. *Surg Neurol* 1982; 18: 7-17.
- 102. Bradley PJ, Manning KP, Shaw MD. Brain abscess secondary to otitis media. *J Laryngol Otol* 1984; 98: 1185-91.
- Ersahin Y, Mutluer S, Guzelbag E. Brain abscess in infants and children. *Childs Nerv Syst* 1994; 10: 185-9.
- 104. Schliamser SE, Backman K, Norrby SR. Intracranial abscesses in adults: an analysis of 54 consecutive cases. *Scand J Infect Dis* 1988; 20: 1-9.
- 105. Mathisen GE, Meyer RD, George WL, Citron DM, Finegold SM. Brain abscess and cerebritis. *Rev Infect Dis* 1984; 6 Suppl 1: S101-S106.
- Shaw MD, Russell JA. Cerebellar abscess. A review of 47 cases. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1975; 38: 429-35.
- 107. Nielsen H. Cerebral abscess in children. Neuropediatrics 1983; 14: 76-80.
- 108. Hirsch JF, Roux FX, Sainte-Rose C, Renier D, Pierre-Kahn A. Brain abscess in childhood. A study of 34 cases treated by puncture and antibiotics. *Childs Brain* 1983; 10: 251-65.
- Moss SD, McLone DG, Arditi M, Yogev R. Pediatric cerebral abscess. *Pediatr Neurosci* 1988; 14: 291-6.
- 110. Fischer EG, McLennan JE, Suzuki Y. Cerebral abscess in children. Am J Dis Child 1981; 135: 746-9.
- 111. Dohrmann PJ, Elrick WL. Observations on brain abscess. Review of 28 cases. Med J Aust 1982; 2: 81-3.
- 112. Sharma R, Mohandas K, Cooke RP. Intracranial abscesses: changes in epidemiology and management over five decades in Merseyside. *Infection* 2009; 37: 39-43.
- 113. van Alphen HA, Dreissen JJ. Brain abscess and subdural empyema. Factors influencing mortality and results of various surgical techniques. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1976; 39: 481-90.
- 114. Al MM, Armougom F, Scheld WM et al. The expansion of the microbiological spectrum of brain abscesses with use of multiple 16S ribosomal DNA sequencing. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; 48: 1169-78.
- 115. de LJ, Gortvai P, Hurley R. Antibiotic treatment of abscesses of the central nervous system. *Br Med J* 1977; 2: 985-7.
- 116. Mayhall CG, Archer NH, Lamb VA et al. Ventriculostomy-related infections. A prospective epidemiologic study. *N Engl J Med* 1984; 310: 553-9.

- 117. Stenager E, Gerner-Smidt P, Kock-Jensen C. Ventriculostomy-related infections--an epidemiological study. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 1986; 83: 20-3.
- 118. Ohrstrom JK, Skou JK, Ejlertsen T, Kosteljanetz M. Infected ventriculostomy: bacteriology and treatment. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 1989; 100: 67-9.
- 119. Coplin WM, Avellino AM, Kim DK, Winn HR, Grady MS. Bacterial meningitis associated with lumbar drains: a retrospective cohort study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1999; 67: 468-73.
- 120. Lyke KE, Obasanjo OO, Williams MA, O'Brien M, Chotani R, Perl TM. Ventriculitis complicating use of intraventricular catheters in adult neurosurgical patients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001; 33: 2028-33.
- 121. Wong GK, Poon WS, Wai S, Yu LM, Lyon D, Lam JM. Failure of regular external ventricular drain exchange to reduce cerebrospinal fluid infection: result of a randomised controlled trial. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2002; 73: 759-61.
- 122. Pfisterer W, Muhlbauer M, Czech T, Reinprecht A. Early diagnosis of external ventricular drainage infection: results of a prospective study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2003; 74: 929-32.
- 123. Park P, Garton HJ, Kocan MJ, Thompson BG. Risk of infection with prolonged ventricular catheterization. *Neurosurgery* 2004; 55: 594-9.
- 124. Arabi Y, Memish ZA, Balkhy HH et al. Ventriculostomy-associated infections: incidence and risk factors. *Am J Infect Control* 2005; 33: 137-43.
- 125. Leverstein-van Hall MA, Hopmans TE, van der Sprenkel JW et al. A bundle approach to reduce the incidence of external ventricular and lumbar drain-related infections. *J Neurosurg* 2010; 112: 345-53.
- 126. Schade RP, Schinkel J, Visser LG, Van Dijk JM, Voormolen JH, Kuijper EJ. Bacterial meningitis caused by the use of ventricular or lumbar cerebrospinal fluid catheters. *J Neurosurg* 2005; 102: 229-34.
- 127. Walters BC, Hoffman HJ, Hendrick EB, Humphreys RP. Cerebrospinal fluid shunt infection. Influences on initial management and subsequent outcome. *J Neurosurg* 1984; 60: 1014-21.
- 128. Filka J, Huttova M, Tuharsky J, Sagat T, Kralinsky K, Krcmery V, Jr. Nosocomial meningitis in children after ventriculoperitoneal shunt insertion. *Acta Paediatr* 1999; 88: 576-8.
- 129. Kestle JR, Garton HJ, Whitehead WE et al. Management of shunt infections: a multicenter pilot study. J Neurosurg 2006; 105: 177-81.
- 130. Sacar S, Turgut H, Toprak S et al. A retrospective study of central nervous system shunt infections diagnosed in a university hospital during a 4-year period. *BMC Infect Dis* 2006; 6:43.: 43.
- 131. Aucoin PJ, Kotilainen HR, Gantz NM, Davidson R, Kellogg P, Stone B. Intracranial pressure monitors. Epidemiologic study of risk factors and infections. *Am J Med* 1986; 80: 369-76.
- 132. Kourbeti IS, Jacobs AV, Koslow M, Karabetsos D, Holzman RS. Risk factors associated with postcraniotomy meningitis. *Neurosurgery* 2007; 60: 317-25.
- 133. Federico G, Tumbarello M, Spanu T et al. Risk factors and prognostic indicators of bacterial meningitis in a cohort of 3580 postneurosurgical patients. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2001; 33: 533-7.
- 134. Wang KW, Chang WN, Huang CR et al. Post-neurosurgical nosocomial bacterial meningitis in adults: microbiology, clinical features, and outcomes. *J Clin Neurosci* 2005; 12: 647-50.
- 135. Zarrouk V, Vassor I, Bert F et al. Evaluation of the management of postoperative aseptic meningitis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007; 44: 1555-9.